

Reno 9222 Prototype Drive Reno, Nevada 775.827.6111

# ASCENTÉ

#### Geotechnical Review November 7, 2017

Geotechnical Issues:

- 1. **Faulting:** Two faults were verified during our field investigation. Refer to Plates 2.1, 2.3 and Appendix I. 50 foot offsets are recommended for both of these faults (Fault Trench 1 and 5 and RS Line 5).
- 2. **<u>Rippability</u>**: One location indicated the site rock would not be rippable with a Cat D10 Dozer. Refer to Plate 2.2 and Appendix K (RS Line 3). Trenching will also be affected.
- 3. <u>Clays:</u> Clays were encountered in one exploration location (TP-9 from 2'-5'). Refer to Appendix A. Typical three (3) foot and one (1) foot over-excavations are recommended for foundations and roadway subgrades, if encountered.
- 4. Low "R" Values: Pavement design was based on "R"-value of 18. With a result 3" of AC on 8" of Aggregate Base. The minimum required for local roads is 3" of AC over 6" of Aggregate Base. This assumes an R-value of 30. Refer to Appendix G for Calculation.
- 5. **<u>Rock Quality</u>**: One core sample was tested for Abrasion (42% Loss) and specific gravity/absorption (2.236/5.5%). These results do not meet requirements for Rip Rap or Class C drain rock. Refer to Plate F-3.
- 6. <u>Fill Depths:</u> Fills of up to 25 to 30 feet are anticipated. To mitigate the potential settlement associated with fills of this height, we recommend an elevated compaction (97%), a relatively low bearing capacity (1,500 psf), and "Benching"/"Keying" of fills. Additionally, relatively tight specifications for particle size (12" max for common fill and 8" max for structural fill), and lift thicknesses are recommended 18" max for common fill and 12" max for structural fill. Refer to the General Site Grading Section of the report.

#### GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

for

## ASCENTÉ

Washoe County, Nevada

Prepared for:

NNV1, LLC 6151 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1000 Reno, NV 89511

Prepared by:

LUMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC. 800 E. College Parkway Carson City, Nevada 89706 Tel: (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114

> November, 2017 JN: 9019.004

#### **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT**

#### ASCENTÉ

#### Washoe County, Nevada

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction 1
Geologic Setting
Seismic Considerations 4
Site Conditions and Field Exploration
Field and Laboratory Data
Discussion and Recommendations
General Site Grading
Table 1 – Structural Fill Gradation Specification11
Foundation Design Criteria
Retaining Walls
Concrete Slab Design
Asphalt Concrete Pavement Design
Table 2 – Recommended Asphalt Pavement Section
Corrosion and Chemical Attack
Slope Stability and Erosion Control
Utility Excavations
Moisture Protection, Erosion and Drainage
Construction Specifications
Limitations 22
References
Plates
Appendix A – Fault Trench Logs (Sierra Village and Donner Village)
Appendix B – Test Pit Logs (Sierra Village, Tioga Village, and Donner Village)
Appendix C – Boring/Coring Logs (Sierra Village, Tioga Village, and Donner Village)
Appendix D – Fault Trench Soils Testing (All Villages)
Appendix E – Test Pit Soils (All Villages)
Appendix F – Boring/Coring Soils (All Villages)
Appendix G – Pavement Design
Appendix H – Design Response Spectrum
Appendix I – Fred Saunders Report
Appendix J – Infiltration Results
Appendix K – Gasch Geophysical Services, Inc. Report
Appendix L – geosUAS Report
Appendix M – Fill Slope Detail
Appendix N – Slope Location to Structure Detail

Lumos and Associates, Inc.

# GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT for ASCENTÉ Washoe County, Nevada

#### INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of Lumos & Associates, Inc.'s Geotechnical Investigation for the proposed construction of the Ascenté Subdivision in Washoe County, Nevada. A vicinity map is included as Plate 1 and a site plan is included as Plate 2.

It is our understanding that the project will consist of new single family residences with a one or two story wood framed structure supported by conventional spread footings, associated curb and gutter/sidewalks, residential roadways, retaining walls, and landscaped areas. Structural loads for the buildings are assumed to be two (2) to three (3) kips per lineal foot and 15 to 20 kips for isolated column loads. It is our understanding that the site will be constructed within 30 feet of existing elevations. Therefore, cut and fill depths were assumed to be approximately the same.

The purpose of our investigation was to characterize the site geology and soil conditions, describe the native soils and determine their engineering properties as they relate to the proposed construction. The investigation was also intended to identify possible adverse geologic, soil, and/or water table conditions. However, this study did not include an environmental assessment or an evaluation for soil and/or groundwater contamination at the site.

This report concludes with recommendations for site grading, foundations, footing area preparation, slope stability, utility installation, asphalt concrete, and Portland cement concrete. In addition, information such as logs of all exploratory test pits, borings/corings, fault trenches, refraction seismic investigation, laboratory test data, allowable soil bearing capacities, estimated total and differential settlements based on static loads, lateral earth pressures, and International Building Code (IBC) seismic site class designation are provided in this report.

The recommendations contained herein have been prepared based on our understanding of the proposed construction, as outlined above. Re-evaluation of the recommendations presented in this report should be conducted after the final site grading and construction plans are completed, if there are any variations from the assumptions described herein.

It is possible that subsurface discontinuities may exist between and beyond exploration points. Such discontinuities are beyond the evaluation of the Engineer at this time. No guarantee of the consistency of site geology and sub-surface conditions is implied or intended.

#### **GEOLOGIC SETTING**

The proposed project is located in the southern foothills of the Truckee Meadows, a broad basin bounded on the west by the tall granite peaks of the Sierra Nevada Mountains, and on the east by the lower volcanic peaks of the Virginia Range. Younger volcanic rocks confine the valley on the north and south. Faults separate the valley from the surrounding mountains, which is typical of the Basin and Range geomorphic province. Sediments have filled the valley from a number of tributaries and ancestral lakes during the Quaternary period (2 million years to the present). The dominant sediment source has been, and continues to be, the Truckee River and its ancestral counterparts. Stream deposits were particularly voluminous during the past 2 million years after glacial periods. Since the end of the last glacial periods, some 10,000 years ago, arid erosional forces combined with faulting have been the predominant processes to shape the region. These processes have created large alluvial fans that surround the Truckee Meadows basin.

The surface geology of the project area has been mapped by Tabor and Ellen, (1975). The mapping indicates that multiple deposits underlie the site:

- 1. Qfb alluvial fans that are pebbly to bouldery sand in steep-sided fans.
- 2. Qgo2 partly sorted sand, silt, and boulders deposited by glacial outwash streams with granitic boulders partly to thoroughly rotten where buried.
- 3. Qsh/Qsg Steamboat Hills Rhyolite and associated deposits that are white, glassy to strongly devitrified biotite rhyolite in pumiceous dome and overlying rubble (Qsh) or coarse-grained angular granule conglomerate of rhyolite pumice and metamorphic rock (Qsg).
- 4. Tkf Kate Peak Formation that is hornblende-pyroxene andesite flows with minor breccia.

#### SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

Washoe County, similar to many areas in Nevada, is located near active faults that are capable of producing significant earthquakes. This area can be described as an area that may experience major damage due to earthquakes having intensities of VII or more when evaluated using the Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale of 1931 (Plate 3).

The Washoe County area is located within the Sierra Nevada-Great Basin seismic belt and several major earthquakes with magnitudes greater than 6.0 (Plate 4) have occurred historically within several miles of the site.

According to the Washoe City Folio Geologic Map by Tabor and Ellen, (1975) (Plate 5), there are mapped faults surrounding the property. There are potentially active faults mapped just west of the site. However, according to this map, no active faults are shown to cross this site. Fred Saunders, consulting geologist, was employed by Lumos to perform a field geological survey of the site, specifically as it was related to faulting. Mr. Saunders mapped potential faults within the site, and are noted in Appendix I. The potential faults within the site were then investigated. Lumos utilized an excavator and cut approximate 60-100 foot long trenches perpendicular to and bisecting the potential faults mapped by Mr. Saunders. Fault trench 1 did not intersect the fault. The trench extended east to well outside of the area to be developed on the site. Evidence of a potential fault was observed in the far eastern end of the trench. Therefore, a fifty foot offset is recommended from this mapped fault. Fault trenches 2, 3, and 4 were designed to intercept the mapped fault along the western edge of the property. Our observations indicate that this mapped fault does not enter the Ascenté Site. A Refraction Seismic Line (RS Line 4) was also performed in this area by Gasch Geophysical Services, Inc. These results indicate a "possible fault zone". However, according to Fred Saunders, his interpretation of the data does not indicate that this is a possible fault zone. His review of this data is also included in Appendix I. Fault trench 5, based on information provided by Mr. Saunders, intersected a fault. Structures should not be built within 50 feet either side of this fault. A Refraction Seismic line (RS Line 5) performed in this area affirms this finding.

Liquefaction is the phenomena where loose saturated granular soils lose their shear strength when subjected to cyclic loading, and become unstable. Large earthquakes as described above may provide that type of cyclic loading. Loose sands and silty sands under saturated conditions are the most susceptible to this phenomenon. These soils conditions and characteristics were not encountered during our field investigation. Therefore, the potential for liquefaction on site is considered very low.

2012/15 IBC Design: The mapped maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at short periods  $(S_S)$  is 2.322g corresponding to a 0.2 second spectral response acceleration at five percent (5%) of critical damping and for a Site Class B (IBC 1613.3.1(1)). The mapped maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at a 1-second period (S<sub>1</sub>) is 0.813g corresponding to a 1.0 second spectral response acceleration at five percent (5%) of critical damping and for a Site Class B (IBC 1613.3.1 (2)). According to section 1613.3.2, when the soil properties are not known in sufficient detail to a depth of 100 feet, site Class D shall be assumed. Therefore, the spectral response accelerations must be adjusted for Site Class effects. The site coefficient for spectral response accelerations adjustment at short periods (Fa) is 1.0 (IBC Table 1613.3.3(1)). The site class effect for spectral response accelerations adjustment at 1-second periods (Fv) is 1.5 (IBC Table 1613.3.3(2)). The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration parameter for short periods (SMS) is 2.322g and for 1-second periods (Sm1) is 1.219g. This corresponds to design spectral response acceleration parameters of 1.548g for short periods (S<sub>DS</sub>) and of 0.813g for 1second periods (SD1).

It is emphasized that the above values are the minimum requirements intended to maintain public safety during strong ground shaking. These minimum requirements are meant to safeguard against loss of life and major structural failures. However, they are not intended to prevent damage or insure the functionality of the structure during and/or after a large seismic event.

In conclusion, seismic concerns for this site are not unlike other sites in the Reno area. Due to the proximity of the site to a number of faults that are considered active, as noted above, strong seismic shaking should be anticipated during the life of the proposed structure.

### SITE CONDITIONS AND FIELD EXPLORATION

At the time of our investigation the site is undeveloped with the exception of a minimally maintained access road to an existing water tank. Additionally, there are numerous dirt roads throughout the site. The site was vegetated with large sagebrush and grasses. The site generally sloped downwards from east to west and north to south.

The current field investigation included a site reconnaissance and subsurface exploration. During the site reconnaissance, surface conditions were noted and the locations of exploratory borings, test pits, fault trenches, core holes, Refraction Seismic lines, and infiltration tests were determined using survey techniques.

Eight (8) exploratory borings were drilled utilizing hollow-stem auger or flight auger drilling methods throughout the site to a maximum depth of forty-one and one-half (41.5) feet below-existing-grade (b.e.g.), five (5) exploratory borings were drilled utilizing a combination of hollow-stem auger and core hole drilling methods to a maximum depth of forty (40) feet b.e.g., twenty (20) exploratory test pits were excavated to a maximum depth of thirteen (13) feet b.e.g., five (5) fault trenches were excavated on the site to maximum depth of eight (8) feet b.e.g., seven (7) Refraction Seismic lines were completed, and three (3) infiltration tests were also performed on the site. The locations of the exploratory borings, test pits, fault trenches, core holes, Refraction Seismic lines, and infiltration tests within the site are shown on Plates 2.1 through 2.3. The subsurface soils were continuously logged and visually classified in the field by our Geotechnician in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Representative soil/bedrock samples were collected at each soil strata change in the test pits and fault trenches, at five (5) foot intervals within the exploratory borings, and at five (5) foot intervals (or where the core barrel jammed) within the core holes. The samples were subsequently transported to our Carson City and Reno geotechnical laboratories for testing and additional analysis.

The subsurface soils encountered consisted generally of silty and clayey sands and gravels to the depth explored. A fat clay was encountered in test pit 9 from two (2) feet to five (5) feet. Groundwater was not encountered at the time of our investigation. However, seasonal fluctuations in the groundwater table should be anticipated. Lumos & Associates, INC.

Additionally, three areas have been mapped as containing a "saturated water regime". These areas are mapped and labeled PEMB in Attachment/Figure 5 of 9 from the report prepared by geosUAS Inc. Refer to Appendix L.

#### FIELD AND LABORATORY TEST DATA

Laboratory tests performed on representative samples included sieve analysis (including fines), Atterberg limits, expansion index, proctor, direct shear, R-value, soluble sulfate, pH, and resistivity. Additionally, an abrasion test and a specific gravity/absorption test was performed on a core sample. Much of this data is displayed on the "logs" to facilitate correlation. Field descriptions presented on the logs have been modified, where appropriate, to reflect laboratory test results. The logs of the fault trenches are included in Appendix A Sierra Village as Plates A-1 through A-3 and Appendix A Donner Village as Plates A-4 and A-5. A key to the fault trench logs is included as Plate A-6. The logs of the test pits are included in Appendix B Sierra as Plates B-10 through B-14, B-19, B-20, Appendix B Tioga Village as Plates B-8, B-9, B-17, and B-18, and Appendix A Donner Village as Plates B-1 through B-7, B-15, and B-16. A key to the test pit logs is included as Plate B-21. The logs of the borings/corings are included in Appendix C Sierra Village as Plates C-1 and C-2, Appendix C Tioga Village as Plates C-6 through C-13, and Appendix C Donner Village as Plates C-3 through C-5. A key to the boring/coring logs is included as Plate C-14. Additionally, three (3) infiltration tests were performed on site and the results can be seen in Appendix J of this report. All the field investigation locations are shown on Plates 2.1 through 2.3.

Individual laboratory test results for the fault trench samples are presented in Appendix D as Plates D-1 through D-3, test results for the test pit samples are presented in Appendix E as Plates E-1 through E-6, and test results for the boring/coring samples are presented in Appendix F as Plates F-1 through F-3. Laboratory testing was performed per ASTM standards, except when test procedures are briefly described and no ASTM standard is specifically referenced in the report. Atterberg limits were determined using the dry method of preparation.

Analytical Testing: Silver State Analytical Laboratories, Reno, Nevada, conducted this testing. The testing included soluble sulfates. Test results are included (on Silver State letterhead) in Plates E-6. The results indicate no special type of cement is necessary for concrete in direct contact with site soils, however, Type II cement should be utilized. The results also indicate the site soils are corrosive toward metal, therefore, corrosion protective measures should be implemented.

The results of the Refraction Seismic Investigation are included in Appendix K of this report. The results indicate, at the locations investigated, that the site rock materials will be "rippable" based on the Cat D10R Rippability Performance Chart, with the following exception: At the southwest end of RS Line 3. This area is proposed for a cut of 20-25 feet below ground surface. Based on the data, the material is "rippable" to a depth of approximately 10-15 feet below the existing ground surface at this location.

The abrasion and specific gravity/absorption test results indicate the site bedrock does not meet the SSPWC requirements for Class C backfill or Rip Rap.

The soil/bedrock samples obtained during this investigation will be held in our laboratory for 30 days from the date of this report. The samples may be retained longer at an additional cost to the client or obtained from this office upon request.

#### **DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### General

From a Geotechnical viewpoint, the site is considered suitable for the proposed when recommended, here The following improvements prepared as in. recommendations are based upon the construction and our understanding and assumptions of the proposed improvements, as outlined in the introduction of this report, and based on our findings during the field exploration phase of this project. If changes in the construction project are proposed, they should be presented to Lumos & Associates, Inc. Geotechnical Department, so that the recommendations provided herein can be reviewed and modified as necessary. As a minimum, final construction drawings should be submitted to the Lumos Geotechnical Department for review prior to actual construction and verification that our recommendations have been implemented.

#### **General Site Grading**

All soils with organics, clays, and any loose or otherwise disturbed or unstable native soils within the proposed improvement areas should be removed. Organic material encountered during excavations, should be stockpiled in a designated area on site or "screened" for later use on slopes for landscaping. Clays, if encountered, may be incorporated into deeper fill sections.

All unsuitable materials such as vegetation, etc, currently on-site should be removed before grading begins. Clearing and grubbing is expected to require six (6) inches to one (1) foot of removal. The onsite clays (CH soils) are unsuitable to provide direct structural support due to their volume change potential and low R-value. The clays may be used as common fill. Common fill is defined as fill outside of structural fill zones. Structural fill zones are located within one (1) foot of pavement and/or hardscape improvements subgrade and within three (3) feet of foundations. Clays were encountered in test pit 9. However, clay could be encountered elsewhere within the site. Due to the volume change potential and/or relative weak nature of the on-site clays (CH), if encountered, we recommend a minimum of one (1) foot of separation between exterior concrete improvements and asphalt pavement sections and the clays. Removals shall extend horizontally beyond the edge of exterior concrete improvements and asphalt pavement section a minimum of one (1) foot. We recommend potholing be done during construction to insure these minimum separation requirements are met. Additionally, we recommend three (3) feet of separation between building foundations and the clays. Removals shall extend a minimum of three (3) feet outside of the foundation envelope.

Exposed excavation surfaces to support any of the proposed improvements should be observed and approved by a Lumos representative. Upon re-compaction and prior to placing any base, the re-compacted surface should be proof-rolled to identify any possible yielding surfaces. Proof-rolling should be conducted with a heavy rubber-tire loader with a fully loaded bucket, or a fully loaded water truck, and observed and approved by a Lumos representative.

Unstable conditions due to yielding and/or pumping soils may be encountered on site. However, the exposed soils may yield or pump under heavy equipment loads or where vibratory equipment draws up water. If yielding or pumping conditions are encountered, the soils should be scarified in place, allowed to dry as necessary and recompacted, where applicable. Alternatively, the unsuitable or saturated soil should be removed, the exposed surface leveled and compacted/tamped as much as practical without causing further pumping, and covered (including the sides) with geotextile stabilizing fabric (Mirafi HP370 or other equivalent). The fabric should then be covered with at least 12 inches of 4 to 8 inch **angular rock fill** with enough fines to fill the inter-rock pore spaces. Placement should be by end dumping. No traffic or other action should be allowed over the fabric, which may cause it to deflect/deform prior to cobble placement. Test sections should be used to determine the minimum thickness and/or number of layers required for stabilization.

Stabilization should be evaluated by proof-rolling standards commensurate with the equipment used, and approved by a Lumos representative. The placement of the stabilizing rock-fill may require additional over-excavation to maintain appropriate

grading elevations. A filter fabric (Mirafi 180N or equal) should also be placed over the cobble rock fill to prevent piping of fines from covering soils into the stabilizing rock matrix.

All fill soils shall not contain more than two percent (2%) of organics nor contain any roots larger than one (1) inch in diameter.

**Common fill** shall be defined as fill not within one (1) foot of finished subgrade elevation for pavements and/or hardscape improvements and not within three (3) feet of bottom of footing elevation. Common fill may consist of site clays and gravels, provided 12 inch and larger particles are removed. The common fill shall be placed in 18 inch maximum loose lifts, moisture conditioned to at within two percent (2%) of optimum moisture content and compacted to at least ninety-seven percent (97%) of the ASTM D1557 standard. Structural fill shall be defined as fill soils within one (1) foot of finished subgrade elevation for pavements and/or hardscape improvements and within three (3) feet of bottom of footing elevation. Properly compacted **structural fill** soils to be used on site should consist of non-expansive materials (LL less than 38 and/or a PI less than 13 and/or Expansion Index less than 20), should be free of contaminants, or natural rock larger than eight (8) inches in largest dimension. All structural fill soils shall also be non-corrosive and have a water soluble sulfate content of less than 0.1% and a minimum "R"-Value of 30. Structural fill soils shall also meet the following gradation requirements (Table 1):

SIKUCIUKAL FILL GKADATION			
Sieve Size	% Passing		
8″	100		
3/4″	70-100		
#40	15-60		
#200	10-30		

TABLE 1 STRUCTURAL FILL GRADATION

Structural fill soils that do not meet the above requirements may be approved at the discretion of the Geotechnical Engineer. It is anticipated site sands and gravels will be suitable for reuse as structural fill, provided oversize (+8") particles are removed.

Import structural fill soils if needed for this project and should be tested and approved prior to being placed or delivered on-site (**seven day advanced notice**).

Prior to placement of common and/or structural fill, the site subgrade shall be scarified to a depth of 12 inches, moisture conditioned to within two percent (2%) of optimum moisture content and recompacted to a minimum of ninety-seven percent (97%) relative compaction as determined by the ASTM D1557 Standard.

Structural fill should be placed only on compacted sub-grade or on compacted fill in loose lifts not exceeding 12 inches, moisture conditioned to within two percent (2%) of optimum moisture, and compacted to at least ninety-seven percent (97%) relative compaction as determined by the ASTM D1557 Standard. Differential fill across any individual house pad shall not exceed five (5) feet.

We are anticipating that many on-site materials encountered during mass grading (including after screening the oversized material) will have greater than 30%, by weight, particles larger than  $\frac{3}{4}$ ". Therefore, these materials will be considered rock fill. Rock fill placement shall be continuously observed by Lumos Geotechnical personnel. Rock fill shall be placed in a manner that there is no occurrence of nesting of the larger particle size material. Lift thickness, moisture conditioning and proof rolling shall be completed to the satisfaction of the Geotechnical Engineer. Rock fill shall not be placed within three (3) feet laterally from and below bottom of footings, nor one (1) foot laterally from and below bottom of concrete improvements and asphalt paving.

Due to oversize materials, soils classifications, and low RQD of bedrock encountered, we estimate a shrinkage factor of 13% to 17% may be encountered during mass grading of the site materials.

Due to the relatively steep terrain of the site, Lumos is recommending that all fill placed be "benched" and "keyed" into existing slopes steeper than 5:1 (H:V). This will require the contractor to cut into the native ground or "bench" the fill a horizontal distance of at least one equipment width for every lift of fill placed. The benches shall be negatively graded into the slope a minimum of five percent (5%). Refer to Appendix M. L:\LAProj\9019.004 - Ascente Geotech Investigation & Improv Plans\Construction\Geotechnical\Ascente Report.doc Additionally, the fill area adjacent to the toe of an existing slope shall be "keyed" in. This key will be a minimum of eight (8) feet wide and two (2) feet deep. Construction of the keys, benches, and fills should be continuously observed by Lumos Geotechnical personnel.

Fill material should not be placed, spread or compacted while the ground is frozen or during unfavorable weather conditions. When site grading is interrupted by heavy rain or snow, grading or filling operations should not resume until a Lumos representative approves the moisture content and density conditions of the subgrade or previously placed fill.

Landscape areas should be cleared of all objectionable material. In cut areas, no other work is necessary except grading to proper elevation. In landscape areas, fill should be placed in loose lifts not exceeding eight inches, moisture conditioned to within two percent (2%) of optimum moisture content and compacted to at least ninety-seven percent (97%) relative compaction (ASTM D1557) to prevent erosion.

Water should not be allowed to pond on pavements or adjacent to structures, and measures should be taken to reduce surface water infiltration into the subgrade soils. A representative of Lumos should be present during site grading operations to ensure any unforeseen or concealed conditions within the site are identified and properly mitigated, and to test and observe earthwork construction. This testing and observation is an integral part of our service as acceptance of earthwork construction and is dependent upon compaction and stability of the subgrade soils. The soils engineer may reject any material that does not meet engineering characteristics, compaction, and stability requirements. Further, recommendations of this report are based upon the assumption that earthwork construction will conform to recommendations set forth in this section of the report.

#### FOUNDATION DESIGN CRITERIA

Conventional spread footings founded on suitable subgrade and/or a minimum of 36 inches of structural fill (moisture conditioned and compacted as previously discussed in this report) may be used to support the proposed structures within the project site.

**Spread footings:** Footings should have a minimum embedment of 24 inches below lowest adjacent grade for frost protection. Footings founded on suitable subgrade and/or structural fill may be designed for a net allowable bearing pressure of 1,500 pounds-per-square-foot (psf).

If fill is placed to bring building pads to grade, no footings should be founded within a distance of at least one third of the total height of fill (H/3) placed from the face of the slope or equal to the depth of compacted fill below the bottom of footing, whichever is greater. Refer to Appendix N. In drainage areas, no footings should be located or founded above a 1:1 (horizontal:vertical) plane drawn up from the toe of slopes, outside edge of drainage conduits or drainage ditches, to avoid loss of bearing strength of supporting soils. No drainage or water diverting conduits other than associated utilities should be allowed underneath building footprints.

**Footing Settlements:** The maximum anticipated settlements, caused by static loading, for continuous or isolated spread footings, bearing on suitable subgrade and/or structural fill, and designed for a 1,500 psf bearing pressure is estimated at one (1) inch or less. Differential settlements are generally expected to be half of the total settlements. Settlements in granular soils are primarily expected to occur shortly after dead and sustained live loads are applied. Settlements in fine grained soils will occur over a much longer period of time.

Lateral Loading: Resistance to lateral loads can be provided by friction acting at the base of foundations and by lateral earth resistance. A coefficient of friction of 0.40 may be assumed at the base of spread footings supported by suitable subgrade and/or structural fill. An allowable passive earth resistance of 250 psf per foot of depth starting six (6) inches below lowest adjacent grade may be used for the sides of spread footings poured against suitable subgrade and/or structural fill. Passive resistance should not exceed 1,500 psf. The at-rest lateral earth pressure can be calculated utilizing an equivalent fluid pressure of 65 pounds-per-cubic-foot (pcf).

Dynamic Factors: Vertical and lateral bearing values indicated above are for total deadload and frequently applied live loads. If normal code requirements are applied for design, the above vertical bearing values may be increased by thirty-three percent (33%) for short duration loading due to wind or seismic forces. The additional Dynamic Lateral earth pressure can be calculated utilizing the following equation.

Dynamic Lateral Force =  $30H^2$ H = height of wall

This force should be assumed to act at a height of 0.6H above the bottom of the wall.

#### **RETAINING WALLS**

Retaining structures over three (3) feet in height, if used, will require local code compliance and shall be engineered based on parameters described in this section of the report. Retaining structures should be designed to resist the appropriate lateral earth pressures. Cantilevered walls, which are able to deflect at least 0.01 radians, can be designed using an equivalent fluid (backfill) unit weight of 45 pounds-per-cubic-foot (pcf). However, if the wall is fixed against rotation, the wall should be designed using an equivalent fluid (backfill) unit weight of 65 pcf. These design parameters are based upon the assumption that walls retain only level backfill and no hydrostatic pressures Any other surcharge pressures should be added to the above will be present. recommended lateral earth pressures. Retaining walls should be backfilled with free draining granular material that extends vertically to the bottom of the stem and laterally at least six (6) inches beyond the face of the stem (wall) and wrapped with a Mirafi 140 N or equivalent non-woven filter fabric. Weep holes should be provided on the walls at regular intervals, or a slotted drainpipe placed at the bottom of the wall (bottom of granular material) to relieve any possible build-up of hydrostatic pressure. Backfill material within two (2) feet of the wall should be compacted with hand-held equipment. The backfill material shall be moisture conditioned to within two percent (2%) of optimum moisture content and compacted to at least ninety-two percent (92%) relative compaction per the ASTM D1557 standard.

## **CONCRETE SLAB DESIGN**

Interior concrete slabs should be underlain with at least six (6) inches of Type 2, Class B Aggregate Base, compacted to a minimum of ninety-five percent (95%), and supported on suitable subgrade and/or structural fill. A vapor barrier should be provided for all interior concrete slabs where floor moisture is undesirable. The vapor barrier should be a synthetic plastic sheeting at least ten (10) mils thick and meets the requirements of ASTM E1745 for Class A vapor retarder materials. The vapor retarder shall be installed per the manufactures recommendations.

Slab thickness design should be based on a Modulus of Subgrade Reaction equal two hundred (200) pounds-per-cubic-inch (pci) for construction on suitable subgrade and/or structural fill. Reinforcement of concrete slabs should be as specified by the Project Structural Engineer.

Exterior concrete slabs on grade for vehicular traffic and driveways should be underlain with at least six (6) inches of Type 2, Class B aggregate base. All subgrade, common fill, and structural fill shall be prepared and placed as described in the "General Site Grading" section of this report, while the aggregate base material shall be compacted to at least ninety-five percent (95%) of the ASTM D1557 standard.

### ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT DESIGN

Suitable subgrade and/or structural fill in areas to be paved shall be moisture conditioned to within two percent (2%) of optimum moisture, and compacted to at least ninety-seven percent (97%) of the ASTM D1557 standard. If native clayey (CH) (and/or low "R"-value) soils are encountered they shall be overexcavated to a depth of at least one (1) foot below finished subgrade elevation. The soils exposed by overexcavation shall be scarified to a depth of at least 12 inches, moisture conditioned to within two percent (2%) of optimum moisture, and compacted to at least ninetyseven percent (97%). One (1) foot of structural fill shall then be placed, moisture conditioned to with two percent (2%) of optimum moisture and compacted to at least ninety-seven percent (97%). An alternative to overexcavation and replacement may be to lime treat the clay soils and/or the low "R"-value soils. Clayey soils are known for low "R" values including clayey sands (SC) and clayey gravels (GC). Aggregate base should consist of Type 2, Class B material and meet the requirements of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (SPPWC) and be compacted to a minimum of ninety-five percent (95%). The minimum pavement structural sections for this project were based on a TI = 5 (residential roadways), and are provided in Table 2.

Pavement Design	Minimum Asphalt Pavement Thickness	Minimum Aggregate Base Thickness	Minimum Structural Fill Thickness (if CH and/or Low R-Value Soils Encountered)
T.I. = 5	3″	8″	12″

 TABLE 2

 RECOMMENDED ASPHALT PAVEMENT SECTION

Calculations included in Appendix C.

In all areas of the project, asphalt concrete should be a 50 blow Marshall mix with PG64-28NV, and target 4% air voids. Type 2 asphalt aggregate per the "Orange Book" standards shall be utilized. Asphalt concrete, in any case, should be compacted to between ninety-two percent **(92%)** and ninety-seven percent **(97%)** of the Rice theoretical maximum density. A mix design shall be submitted to the Geotechnical Engineer for review and approval a minimum of **seven (7) days prior to paving**.

A chip seal is recommended, to increase surface friction on Ascenté Crest Trail, and other roads with a grade steeper than 8%. The chip seal shall meet the requirements of the SSPWC for a Type 2 chip seal. Additionally, the chip seal should be redone on a regular maintenance schedule, for example on an every five (5) year basis or sooner as needed.

## CORROSION AND CHEMICAL ATTACK

On-site soils have a negligible water soluble sulfate content of less than 0.10% (<0.01% actual). No specific type of cement is required for concrete in direct contact with on-site soils, as required by the International Building Code. However, Type II cement (meeting ASTM C150) is recommended for concrete in direct contact with on-site soils.

All exterior concrete should have between 4.5 and 7.5 percent entrained air, a maximum water-cement ratio of 0.45, and comply with all other ACI recommendations for concrete placed in areas subject to freezing. A minimum compression strength of 4,000 psi is recommended for all external concrete. All interior concrete should also be placed pursuant to ACI recommendations.

Native soils have a pH ranging from 6.64 to 6.79 and a resistivity ranging from 3,150 to 8,410 ohm-cm under saturated conditions. This indicates a corrosive potential for ferrous metals in contact with these soils. Corrosion mitigation measures, such as protective coatings, wrappings, and cathodic protection are therefore recommended. If protective coatings are used, the type and quantity will depend on the kind of steel and specific construction application. Steel and wire concrete reinforcement cover of at least three (3) inches where cast against soil, unformed, is recommended.

#### **SLOPE STABILITY AND EROSION CONTROL**

The results of our exploration and testing confirm that 2:1 (Horizontal: Vertical) maximum slopes will be stable for on-site materials both in cut and fill. All slopes shall incorporate a brow ditch to direct surface drainage away from the slope face. Slopes steeper than 2:1 will require stabilization, such as retaining walls.

The potential for dust generation is high at this project. Dust control will be mandatory on this project in order to comply with air quality standards. The contractor shall be responsible for submitting a dust control plan and securing any required permits.

Stabilization of all slopes and areas disturbed by construction will be required to prevent erosion and to control dust. Stabilization may consist of rip-rap, revegetation, or dust pallative, depending on the inclination of the slope.

In order to minimize storm water discharge from this site, best management practices should be implemented.

## UTILITY EXCAVATIONS

On-site soils are anticipated to be excavatable with conventional construction equipment. The on-site bedrock encountered in RS Line 3 was very hard and slightly altered/weathered. Special excavation techniques may be required in these types of materials. Compliance with OSHA regulations should be enforced for Type B soils. Excavated soils may be suitable for backfill of utility trenches (if particles larger than four (4) inches are removed). Trench backfill shall meet the requirements of Class E backfill in the SSPWC. On-site soils encountered during our field exploration do not meet the minimum requirements for bedding sand and should be imported, where required. Bedding sand and trench backfill shall be moisture conditioned to within two percent (2%) of optimum and compacted to a minimum of **ninety-two percent (92%)** of the ASTM D1557 standard.

#### **MOISTURE PROTECTION, EROSION AND DRAINAGE**

The finish surfaces around all structures should slope away from the foundations and toward appropriate drop inlets or other surface drainage devices. It is recommended that within ten (10) feet of any structure a minimum slope of five percent (5%) be used for soil subgrade and a minimum of one percent (1%) be used for pavement. These grades should be maintained for the life of the structures.

If saturated soils are encountered at or near the building sites (such as near the PEMB mapped sites), foundation drains should be utilized to insure foundation supporting soils do not become saturated. These drains should be designed by a civil engineer, if needed.

Landscaping and downspouts should be planned to prevent discharge adjacent to buildings. Instead, water flow should be conveyed and re-routed to discharge areas away from any improvements.

Three infiltration tests were performed across the site. The locations of the tests can be seen in Plates 2.1-2.3. The results of the tests are included in Appendix J.

## **CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS**

All work shall be governed by the Standard Specifications and Standard Details for Public Works Construction (SSPWC), as distributed by the Washoe County, except as modified herein.

#### LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared in accordance with the currently accepted engineering practices in Northern Nevada and Northern California. The analysis and recommendations in this report are based upon exploration performed at the locations shown on the site plan, the proposed improvements as described in the Introduction section of this report and upon the property in its condition as of the date of this report. Lumos makes no guarantee as to the continuity of conditions as subsurface variations may occur between or beyond exploration points and over time. Any subsurface variations encountered during construction should be immediately reported to Lumos so that, if necessary, Lumos' recommendations may be modified.

This report has been prepared for and provided directly to NNV1 Partners, LLC ("The Client"), and any and all use of this report is expressly limited to the exclusive use of the Client. The Client is responsible for determining who, if anyone, shall be provided this report, including any designers and subcontractors whose work is related to this project. Should the Client decide to provide this report to any other individual or entity, Lumos shall not be held liable for any use by those individuals or entities to whom this report is provided. The Client agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Lumos, its agents and employees from any claims resulting from unauthorized users.

If this report is utilized in the preparation of an Engineer's Estimate of Probable Construction Costs, then the preparer of the estimate acknowledges that the report recommendations are based on the subsurface conditions found at the specific locations investigated on site; that subsurface conditions may vary outside these locations; and that no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, is made that the conditions encountered are representative of the entire site. The preparer of the estimate agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Lumos & Associates, its agents and employees from any and all claims, causes of action or liability arising from any claims resulting from the use of the report in the preparation of an Engineer's Cost Estimate.

This report is not intended for, nor should be utilized for, bidding purposes. If it is utilized for bidding purposes, Client acknowledges that the report recommendations are based on the subsurface conditions found at the specific locations investigated on site; that subsurface conditions may vary outside these locations; and that no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, is made that the conditions encountered are representative of the entire site. The Client agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Lumos & Associates, Inc., its agents and employees from any and all claims, causes or action or liability arising from any claims resulting from the use of the report for bidding purposes.

As explained above, subsurface variations may exist and as such, beyond the express findings located in this report, no warranties express, or implied, are made by this report. No affirmation of fact, including but not limited to statements regarding suitability for use of performance shall be deemed to be a warranty or guaranty for any purpose.

Bert Sexton, E.I. Geotechnician Lumos & Associates, Inc.



Mitch Burns, P.E. Materials Engineering Manager Lumos & Associates, Inc.

#### References

- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 2012, Annual Book of ASTM Standards, West Conshohocken
- International Code Council, 2012 International Building Code, County Club Hills, IL

Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1986, Design Manual 7.01

Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1986, Design Manual 7.02

- Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), 1995, Occupational Safety and Health Standards for the Construction Industry, Commerce Clearing House, Inc.
- Tabor, R. W., Ellen, S., 1975, Washoe City Folio Geologic Map, Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, Reno, Nevada

Tabor, R. W., Ellen, S., and Clark, M. M., 1978, Washoe City Folio Geologic Hazards Map, Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, Reno, Nevada

USGS 2012/15 Website, www.eqdesign.cr.usgs.gov

Washoe County, 2016, Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction, "Orange Book", City of Reno, NV

Lumos and Associates, Inc.









## MODIFIED MERCALLI INTENSITY SCALE

INTENSITY	EFFECTS
Ĩ	Not felt except by a very few under especially favorable circumstances.
11	Felt only by a few persons at rest, especially on upper floors of buildings. Delicately suspended objects may swing.
Ĩ	Felt quite noticeable indoors, especially on upper floors of buildings, but many people do not recognize it as an earthquake. Standing motor cars may rock slightly. Vibration like passing of truck. Duration estimated.
IV	During the day felt indoors by many, outdoors by few. At night some awaken. Dishes, windows, doors disturbed; walls make cracking sound. Sensation like heavy truck striking building; standing motor cars rock noticeably.
۲v	Felt by nearly everyone; many awakened. Some dishes, windows, etc., broken; a few instances of cracked plaster; unstable objects overturned. Disturbance of trees, poles, and other tall objects sometimes noticed. Pendulum clocks may stop.
VI	Felt by all; many frightened and run outdoors. Some heavy furniture moved; a few instances of failen plaster or damaged chimneys. Damage slight.
VII	Everybody runs outdoors. Damage negligible in buildings of good design and construction; slight to moderate in well- built ordinary structures; considerable in poorly built or badly designed structures; some chimneys broken. Noticed by persons driving motor cars.
VIII	Damage slight in specially designed structures; considerable in ordinary substantial buildings with partial collapse; great in poorly built structures. Panel walls thrown out of frame structures. Fall of chimneys, factory stacks, columns, monuments, walls. Heavy furniture overturned. Sand and mud ejected in small amounts. Changes in well water. Disturbs persons driving motor cars.
IX	Damage considerable in specially designed structures; well-designed frame structures thrown out of plumb; great in substantial buildings, with partial collapse. Buildings shifted off foundations. Ground cracked conspicuously. Underground pipes broken.
x	Some well-built wooden structures destroyed; most masonry and frame structures with foundations destroyed; ground badly cracked. Rails bent. Landslides considerable from river banks and steep slopes. Shifted sand and mud. Water splashed (sloped) over banks.
XI	Few, if any (masonry) structures remain standing. Bridges destroyed. Broad fissures in ground. Underground pipe lines completely out of service. Earth slumps and land slips in soft ground. Rails bent greatly.
XII	Damage total. Waves seen on ground surfaces. Lines of sight and level distorted. Objects thrown upward into the air.

From Wood and Newman, 1931, by U.S. Geological Survey, 1974, Earthquake Information Bulletin, v. 6, no. 5, p. 28

Richter Magnitude	Intensity (maximum expected Modified Mercalli)
3.0 - 3.9	11 - 111
4.0 - 4.9	IV - V
5.0 - 5.9	VI - VII
6.0 - 6.9	VII - VIII
7.0 - 7.9	IX - X
8.0 - 8.9	XI - XII



Lumos and Associates 800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 bsexton@lumosinc.com Ascenté Geotechnical Investigation

PLATE

3

**MODIFIED MERCALLI** 

Job Number: 9019.004

Date: Nov. 2017





- Qa Artificial fill.
- Qf Flood deposits. Silt, sand, gravel, and boulders deposited by floods. Includes some recent alluvium.
- Odd Deltaic deposits. Very fine- to very coarse-grained, wellbedded sand.
- Qyl
   Young landslide deposits. Unsorted fine to coarse debris derived from fresh scars on nearby slopes. Little eroded.

   Qld
   Lake deposits. Well-bedded silt and sand. Includes some
- wave-cut benches on other units. Qws Windblown sand. Gray to white, loose, medium- to coarsegrained, relatively well-sorted quartz-feldspar sand. Angular-
- to well-rounded. Qwd Dunes.
- Osu Sand, undifferentiated. Windblown sand, alluvial outwash, and slope wash. Shown as pattern over other units where only ¼ to 1 meter thick.
- Qfg Alluvial fans. Qfg: fine to coarse, moderately bedded, angu-
- Qfs lar, granodioritic sand, Qfs: very fine- to coarse-grained
- Ofsb quartz-feldspar sand. Grains partially rounded. Ofsb: silt,
- Ofb
   sand, and pebbly to bouldery sand. Well-bedded in Pleasant

   Valley.
   Ofb:
   pebbly to bouldery sand in steep-sided fans.

   Ot
   Talus.
   Accumulations of angular blocks of rock.
- Ot
   Talus. Accumulations of angular blocks of rock.

   Oba
   Basin alluvium and slope deposits. Oba: mostly water-lain

   Os
   silt, sand, gravel, and boulders. Slightly- to well-sorted and
- bedded in local basins. Ωs: mostly unsorted and slightly bedded debris.

#### DEBRIS FLOWS OF SLIDE MOUNTAIN

- Osd9 Debris flow 9. Unsorted angular granodioritic debris forming small ridges and pronounced lobes in or near bottoms of present valleys.
- Osd2-8
   Debris flows 2 through 8. Angular blocks of fresh granodiorite in poorly sorted, angular silty sand. Locally altered.

   Osdc
   Debris flow: coarse deposits. Large angular granodiorite
- blocks up to 3 meters in width; little or no sand between blocks. Qsd1 Debris flow 1. Qsd1: partially rotten granodiorite blocks in
- Osdb angular, gray to orange sity sand. Osdb: shattered granodiorite in slide block outlined by short dashes.
- Qsdu Debris flows, undivided.

#### GLACIAL DEPOSITS

- Ogm4
   Glacial moraine 4. Mostly sharp-crested moraine ridges of unsorted, fresh angular blocks in silt and sand.

   Ogo4
   Glacial outwash 4. Partly sorted sand, silt, and boulders
- Ogo4 Glacial outwash 4. Partly sorted sand, silt, and boulders deposited by glacial outwash stream.
- Qgm3 Glacial moraine 3. Similar to Qgm2, but in separate ridge. Qgm2 Glacial moraine 2. Similar to Qgm4, but moraine crests more rounded and granitic boulders partly to thoroughly rotten where buried.
- \* Ogo2 Glacial outwash 2. Similar to Ogo4, except granitic boulders partly to thoroughly rotten where buried.
- Qgm1 Glacial moraine 1. Similar to Qgm2, except partly to highly eroded, moraine crests vary subdued, and all granitic clasts thoroughly rotten.
- Ogmu Glacial moraine, undifferentiated. Ogou Glacial outwash, undifferentiated.
- Ogou Glacial outwash, undifferentiated. Oows Old windblown sand. Fine- to medium
- Old windblown sand. Fine- to medium-grained, brown to orange, well-sorted and well-rounded frosted sand with scattered wind-faceted pebbles.
- Old sand dunes (?) Dark-brown to gray, fine- to coarsegrained, partly rounded sand in dune-like mounds.
- Ost Siliceous sinter and travertine. Encrustations deposited by old hot springs. Coats and replaces units pKm and Qol on Steamboat Hills. Age uncertain.
- **Qol Old landslide deposits.** Unsorted coarse to fine debris derived from nearby slopes. Features subdued by erosion.
- Qgl Glacial outwash and/or landslide deposits. Poorly sorted sand, silt, and bouldery gravel.
- Oogb
   Unbedded pebbly sand. In bar-like ridge. Age uncertain.

   Ooa
   Old alluvium. Mostly gray-green to orange sand, gravel, and boulders. Many clasts clay coated. Poorly- to well-bedded. Granodiorite boulders otten. Hill cappings on east margin of area are mostly well-rounded lag boulders of partially weathered granodiorite and other rocks.
- **Qold** Old lake deposits. Fine to coarse sand and silt in thin beds. Locally diatomaceous.
- Qmb Deposits of the Mount Rose fan. Qmb: gray to brown silt, Qms sand, gravel, and large boulders. Waekly stratified. All granitic boulders and some volcanic rocks rotten. Qms: intertonguing sand and silt facies.

#### BEDROCK UNITS

- ★ Osh Steamboat Hills Rhyolite and associated deposits. Osh: white,
   ★ Osg glassy to strongly devitrified biotite rhyolite in pumiceous dome and overlying rubble. Pleistocene age based on K-Ar determination of sanidine at 1.2±1 m.y. (M. L. Silberman, 1974, written commun.). Osg: coarse-grained angular granule conglomerate of rhyolite pumice and metamorphic rock.
   Th Basalt. Black to red olivine basalt. Highly fractured, dense to scoriaceous.
- \* Tkf Kate Peak Formation. Tkf: hornblende-pyroxene andesite Tkb flows with minor breccia. Tkb: andesite breccia with minor Tkd flows. Tkd: glassy, flow-layered pyroxene andesite of prob-
  - Tki able dome origin. Tki: intrusive masses, mostly dikes. Tku: Tku interbedded flows and breccia, undivided.
    - u interbedded flows and breccia, undivided. Alta Formation. Ta: flows, tuffs, and breccia of dacite to
  - Ta Alta Formation. Ta: flows, tuffs, and breccia of dacite to hornblende andesite composition. Generally partially altered to sericite, calcite, chlorite, and clay minerals. Includes black sodic trachyte on north side of Steamboat Hills. Tad: white to gray altered hornblende andesite dikes. Th Hartford Hill Formation. Purple rhyolite. Highly altered
  - h Hartford Hill Formation. Purple rhyolite. Highly altered to sericite, chlorite, and clay minerals.
  - Td
     Hornblende dacite porphyry dikes. Gray to black dikes that intrude units Kg near Franktown Creek and Tkb on southeast side of Steamboat Hills.

     Kg
     Hornblende-biotite granodiorite. Gray, yellow-gray to pink,
  - and white. Locally includes considerable aplite and pegmatite. Triangle pattern denotes highly fractured, chalky rock, locally strongly sheared and altered to sericite, chilorite, epidote, and zeolites. Short dashes indicate trend of hornblende lineation.
  - pKm Metamorphic rocks. pKm: gray and gray-green metagraypKmb wacke and graywacke conglomerate, metatuff, and breccia. Green to black slate, phyllite, and rare gray marble. Within 60 meters of granodiorite contact, rocks are thermally metamorphosed to black hornfels, schist, and granofels. Crosses indicate bleached areas resulting from intense hydrothermal alteration. pKmb: local exposures of metavolcanic breecia.

# \* = Site Soils/Bedrock



800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 bsexton@lumosinc.com

## Ascenté Geotechnical Investigation

**GEOLOGIC MAP** 

PLATE

5

Job Number: 9019.004

# **APPENDIX A**



# **SIERRA VILLAGE**


											T	EST	PI٦	Γ Ne	o. F	Г-1
Logg	ged E	3y:	B. Sexton			Tota	al Dep	oth:	8 fe	eet						
Date	e Log	ged:	9-19-2017 Cotomillon 200	<b>F</b>		Wat	ter De	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater	enco	unte	red	
Drill	Type	): 	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Gro	und E	lev.:	547	(7.5 f	eet ±		-			
spth in ⁻eet	ohic Log	ple Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample		Il Moisture itent, %	oisture itent, %	ensity, pcf	iquid nit, %	asticity lex, %	avel, % #4 Sieve)	Ind, % 200 Sieve)	ies, % 00 Sieve)	Value	sion Index
ă –	Gra	Sam	Sampler	D Sample	<sup>+</sup> Table		latura Cor	Gor	Dry D	<u> </u>	E Pi	3 Gr	R S6 80 80 80	Fir #2		xpan
	<u> </u>			SOIL DESCRIPTION			z						#			Ш
			Brown Silty SAM Medium Dense,	<u>ID (SM),</u> Dry to SI with Roots to 3'.	ightly Moist,											
- 1 -																
- 2 -																
- 3 -																
		В					5.5			NP	NP	8.4	73.0	18.6	;	
- 4 -																
- 5 -																
- 6 -																
- 8 -						8.0										
			Test pit terminated at 8 feet. Test Pits backfilled without d	compaction verification												
		_	Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté G	Geote	chnic	al Inv	vesti	gatio	n			PLA	TE
,,,		A	800 E. Colleg Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 77 83-7114	LOG OF	EX	PLO	RA	TOF	RY T	'ES'	ΓΡΪ	т		Δ.	.1
20	& A.	ssoc	CIATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	.004				Da	ite: N	oveml	ber 20	17		•

										TE	EST	PIT	<sup>-</sup> No	). F	Г-2
Logg	jed E	By:	B. Sexton			Total D	Pepth:	8 f	eet					_	
Date	e Log 	ged:	9-19-2017			Water	Depth:	No	grou	ndw	ater e	encol	untei	red	
Drill	l ype	∋: □	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Ground	d Elev.:	540	69.52	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Split Spoon B Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Static Water Table	Natural Moisture	Moisture Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	R-Value	Expansion Index
	 		<u> </u>	SOIL DESCRIPTION											_
- 1 -		В	Brown Silty SAN Medium Dense. Sub-Angular Co Diameter and 85 had and Estimat 70% Coarse to F Silt.	<u>ND (SM),</u> Dry to S Estimated 15% bbles and Boulde 5% Classifiable N ted 10% Coarse t Fine Sand, and 2	Dightly Moist, Unclassifiable ers to 3' in Material of Which to Fine Gravel, 0% Non-Plastic										
- 2 -					<u></u>	2.0									
- 3 - - 4 - - 5 -		В	Moderately Cem	ented.		4.9			NP	NP	5.3	72.9	21.7		
- 7 -															
_						8.0									
- 8 -			Test pit terminated at 8 feet. Test Pits backfilled without c	compaction verification											
		_	Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté Geo	technic	al In	vestic	atior	<u>ו</u>		Т		TF
111			800 E. Colleg Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 77 83-7114	LOG OF	EXPL	ORA	TOF	RY T	ES	r Pl	Г		Δ_	2
20	& A	ssoc	CIATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	.004			Da	te: N	ovemb	er 201	17	~-	-

										TE	EST	PIT	' No	<b>). F</b> ]	Г-3
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton		Tota	al Dep	oth:	9 fe	eet						
Date	e Log 	ged:	9-19-2017		Wat	er De	pth:	No	grou	Indw	ater e	encou	unter	red	
Drill	Type	9: 	Caterpillar 329 Excavator		Gro		lev.:	544	17.47	feet	±	_			
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation       Split         Test       Spoon         California       Blulk         Sampler       SolL DESCRIPTION	Ziplock Sample Static Water Table		Natural Moisture Content, %	Moisture Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	R-Value	Expansion Index
			Brown Silty SAND (SM), Dry to Si	lightly Moist											
- 1 -			Medium Dense. Estimated 15% I Sub-Angular Cobbles and Boulde Diameter and 85% Classifiable M had and Estimated 10% Coarse t 70% Coarse to Fine Sand, and 20 Silt. There were Surface Boulder at Least 4' in Diameter.	Unclassifiable ers to 2' in laterial of Which o Fine Gravel, 0% Non-Plastic s that Measured	2.0										
- 2 -			Brown Silty SAND with Gravel (S Moist, Medium Dense. Estimated Unclassifiable Sub-Angular Cobb to 18" in Diameter and 85% Class	<u>M).</u> Slightly 1 15% les and Boulders sifiable Material	2.0										
- 3 -		В	Gravel, 70% Coarse to Fine Sand Non-Plastic Silt.	d, and 20%		4.1			NP	NP	21.0	61.5	14.2		
- 4 -															
- 5 -															
- 6 -															
- 7 -					7.0										
		В	Light to Medium Brown Silty SAN Slightly Moist, Medium Dense.	<u>ND (SM).</u>											
- 8 -															
20 - Q -					9.0										
			Test pit terminated at 9 feet.												
	I						. I. ·	- 1 - 1							
		4	800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077	Asce	nte G	PLO		ai in TOF	vestiç RY T	ES	n T PI	Т		PLA	TE
	M & A	OS ssou	Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	.004				Da	ite: N	ovemb	per 201	17	A-	3

## **DONNER VILLAGE**



										TE	EST	PIT	<sup>-</sup> No	). F	Г-4
Logg	ed E	By:	B. Sexton			Total De	pth:	7 fe	eet	_					
Date	Log -	ged:	9-20-2017			Water D	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater e	enco	unter	red	
Drill	l ype	<b>:</b>	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Ground	Elev.:	536	66.62	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Split Spoon Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Y Static Water Table	atural Moisture Content, %	Moisture Content, %	Jry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % 4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % < #200 Sieve)	R-Value	xpansion Index
				SOIL DESCRIPTION		z						#)	)		Ш
- 1 - - 2 - - 3 - - 4 -		B	Brown Clayey G Moist, Dense. I Sub-Angular Co Diameter in the Material.	<b>RAVEL with Sand</b> Estimated 15% Ur bbles and Boulde Trench and 85% of	d (GC). Slightly nclassifiable rs to 2' in Classifiable	12.2			32	13	43.6	37.8	16.4		
						7.0									
- 7 -			Test pit terminated at 7 feet.	compaction verification											
	L.		Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté Geote	chnic	al In	vestio	gatio	n				TF
LU	M	A os	800 E. Colley Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 177 83-7114	LOG OF	EXPLO	ORA	TOF	RY T	ES	l bi.	Т		Α-	4
	& A	ssoc	CIATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	.004			Da	ate: N	ovemt	ber 20	17	- 1	

											TE	EST	PIT	N	). F	Г-5
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Тс	otal Dep	pth:	8 fe	eet	_				_	
Date	e Log	ged:	9-20-2017	_		W	ater De	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater	encol	unte	red	
Drill	Туре	); 	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Gr	round E	Elev.:	E.C	G.S. f	eet ±					
Depth in Feet	raphic Log	tmple Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon B Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Static Water Table	r	ural Moisture content, %	Moisture content, %	Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % #200 Sieve)	Fines, % ≄200 Sieve)	R-Value	ansion Index
	0	s,	·		N	_	Nati		Dry			<u>(</u> 3	#	v.		Exp
			Brown Silty SAN	JD (SM) Dry Me	Nense	_										
			Roots to 3'. Esti Gravel, 70% Co Slightly-Plastic S	imated 10% Coal arse to Fine Sand Silt.	d, and 20%	1.0										
- 1 -			Brown Clavev S	AND (SC). Slight	tlv Moist.	1.0										
			Medium Dense, Coarse to Fine ( and 20% Clay.	Roots to 3'. Esti Gravel, 70% Coa	mated 10% rse to Fine Sand,											
- 2 -																
- 3 -																
- 4 -																
- -																
- 5 -		В														
- 6 -																
- 7 -																
- 8 -						8.0										
			Test pit terminated at 8 feet. Test Pits backfilled without o	compaction verification												
	6		Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté	Geote	chnic	al In	vestię	gatio	n				TE
	M	A OS	800 E. Colleg Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 77 83-7114	LOG OF	E	XPLC	RA	TOF	T YS	ES	ΓΡΪ	т		Α-	-5
	& A	ssoc	CIATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	.004	1			Da	ate: N	ovemb	ber 201	17	- 1	-

			SYME	BOLS	TYPICAL
IVI	AJUR DIVISI	UN5	GRAPH	LETTER	DESCRIPTIONS
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVELS		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
COARSE GRAINED	MORE THAN 50% OF	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
SOILS	RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
	SAND AND	CLEAN SANDS		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SANDY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION	SANDS WITH FINES		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
	PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
				ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROC FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
FINE GRAINED	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
SUILS				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER				МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
H	GHLY ORGANIC S	SOILS		PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS
)TE: DUAL SYMBOLS AR	E USED TO INDICATE BORD	ERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS			

UMOS\_LEGEND 9019.004 - FAULT TRENCH.GPJ 10-23-06.GDT 11/22/17

LUI

Fax: (775) 883-7114

ASSOCIATES mburns@lumosinc.com

 

 Other Tests

 AN
 ANALYTICAL TEST (pH, Soluble Sulfate, and Resistivity)

 C
 CONSOLIDATION TEST

 DS
 DIRECT SHEAR TEST

 MD
 MOISTURE DENSITY CURVE

 Sums and Associates
 Ascenté Geotechnical Investigation
 PLATE

 800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-707
 Ascenté Geotechnical Investigation
 PLATE

Job Number: 9019.004

Date: November 2017

**A-6** 

# **APPENDIX B**



## **SIERRA VILLAGE**



												TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-10
L	.ogg	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Tota	l Dep	oth:	12	feet						
	Date	Log	ged:	9-21-2017			Wate	er De	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater	encol	untei	red	
	Drill	Туре	): 	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Grou	und E	Elev.:	557	78.83	feet	±				
Jepth in	Feet	aphic Log	nple Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	Moioturo	al Molsture Intent, %	1oisture Intent, %	Jensity, pcf	Liquid .imit, %	lasticity ndex, %	ravel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % #200 Sieve)	ines, % 200 Sieve)	-Value	nsion Index
		5 U	Sar	Sampler		Table			2 <u>0</u>	Dry [			(3 <sup>–</sup>	S :- 1#	⊑ # 		Expa
				Brown Silty SAN	SOIL DESCRIPTION												
-	1 -			with Roots to 1.9 Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine S Silt/Clay.	Below Existing Medium to Fine ( Sand, and 30% S	Gravel, 60% Bravel, 60%	1.5										
-	2 -			(GM), Slightly N Cemented.	<u>n Brown Silty GR</u> loist, Dense, Moc	<u>AVEL with Sand</u> Jerately											
-	3 -		В				1	10.3			NP	NP	47.9	39.3	12.8		
	5 —																
							6.0										
-	6 - 7 -			Brown Clayey S Medium Dense, Coarse to Fine ( and 20% Clay.	AND (SC), Slight Not Cemented. Gravel, 40% Coal	tly Moist, Estimated 40% rse to Fine Sand,	0.0										
-	8 -																
	9 -																
	10 -	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,															
			В														
90.19.004 -	11 -																
INGE -	12 -	/././.					2.0										
				Test pit terminated at 12 fee Test Pits backfilled without o	et. compaction verification												
LUMO				Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté G	eote	chnic	al In	vestig	gation	า			PLA	TE
4	.U	M & A		800 E. Colleg Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8 TATES	ge Parkway NV 89706 177 83-7114 nosinc.com	LOG OF	<b>EXI</b>	PLC	RA	TOF	<b>RY T</b> Da	<b>ES</b>	<b>Γ ΡΙ</b> ΄	<b>T</b> Der 201	17	B-′	10

									•	TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-11
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Total D	epth:	6 f	eet						
Date	e Log	ged:	9-21-2017			Water I	Depth:	No	grou	ndwa	ater e	enco	untei	red	
Drill	Туре	<b>:</b>	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Ground	Elev.:	55′	<b>18.69</b> <sup>·</sup>	feet :	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Split Spoon Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample <u>¥</u> Static Wate Table	ہ ttural Moisture Content. %	Moisture Content, %	y Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % 3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % + - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % < #200 Sieve)	R-Value	pansion Index
		<i>w</i> –		SOIL DESCRIPTIC	DN .	N		ے			0	#)	v v		Ш
- 1 -			Light to Medium (GM), Slightly M Below Ground S Unclassifiable S and Boulders to Classifiable Mat 45% Coarse to I Sand, and 15%	n Brown Silty GF Moist, Dense, with Surface. Estimat Sub-Angular to A at Least 48" in I terial of Which ha Fine Gravel, 40% Non-Plastic Silt.	AVEL with Sand h Roots to 1.5' ed 70% ngular Cobbles Diameter and 30% ad an Estimated Coarse to Fine	<u>,</u>									
- 4 -		B	Light to Medium Slightly Moist, D Unclassifiable S and Boulders to Classifiable Mat	n Brown Clayey Dense. Estimated Sub-Angular to A at Least 48" in I terial.	Sand (SC). d 70% ngular Cobbles Diameter and 30%	6.0 6.0			24	9	7.5	66.6	25.9		0
			Test pit terminated at 6 feet Test Pits backfilled without (	:. compaction verification											
			Lumos and	Associates	Asce	enté Geo	technic	al In	vestig	atior	ו			ρι Δ	TF
	M	A	800 E. Colle, Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8 mbursc@hus	ge Parkway NV 89706 )77 883-7114 posing com	LOG OI	EXPL	ORA	TOF	RY T	EST	r pi	Т		B-'	11
	& A.	ssoc	IATES Insumswith	Job Number: 9019	0.004			Da	te: No	ovemb	ber 201	17			

											TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-12
Log	ged I	By:	B. Sexton			То	tal Dep	oth:	12	feet						
Dat	e Log	ged:	9-21-2017			W	ater De	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater e	encou	unter	ed	
Dril	Туре	e:	Caterpillar 329 Excavato	r		Gr	ound E	Elev.:	546	64.32	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test Spl California Sampler Ball Sar	it oon k nple	Ziplock Sample Static Wate Table	r	Natural Moisture Content, %	Moisture Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	R-Value	Expansion Index
-			SOIL DES			_	-									
- 1			Brown Silty SAND (SM), with Roots to 1.5' Below I Estimated 10% Medium t Coarse to Fine Sand, and Silt/Clay.	Dry, Medi Existing G o Fine Gra d 30% Slig	um Dense, rade. avel, 60% Jhtly Plastic	1.5										
- 2			Light to Medium Brown ( Slightly Moist, Dense, and Estimated 10% Medium t Coarse to Fine Sand, and	d Moderat o Fine Gra 30% Cla	<u>ND (SC),</u> ely Cemented. avel, 60% y.											
- 4																
- 5																
- 6		В														
- 7																
- 8																
- 9																
5 - 10																
- 11																
- 12	<u>////</u>	1				12.0										
			Test pit terminated at 12 feet. Test Pits backfilled without compaction verif	ication												
			Lumos and Associate	es	Asce	enté	Geote	chnic	al Inv	vestig	gation	n		F	PLA	TE
LL	IM		800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com			= E	XPLC	RA	ΓOF	<b>ד Y</b> א	ES	ΓPľ	T		B-'	12
	& A	SSO	CIATES mburns@lumosinc.com		Job Number: 9019	0.004				Da	ite: N	ovemt	ber 201	17	-0	. 1

									TES	ST F	PITI	No.	TP	-13
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton		Total I	Depth:	13	feet						
Date	e Log	ged:	9-21-2017		Water	Depth:	No	grou	ndwa	ater e	encou	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	<b>:</b>	Caterpillar 329 Excavator		Groun	d Elev.:	546	53.4 fe	eet ±					
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test Split Spoon California Sampler Bulk Sample SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ziplock Sample <u>Y</u> Static Water Table	Natural Moisture	Content, % Moisture Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	R-Value	Expansion Index
			Brown Silty SAND (SM) Dry Me	dium Dense										
- 1 -			with Roots to 2' Below Existing G 10% Medium to Fine Gravel, 60% Sand, and 30% Slightly Plastic S	6 Coarse to Fine ilt/Clay.										
- 3 -		B												
- 5 -					6.0									
- 7 -			Light to Medium Brown Silty GR (GM), Dry to Slightly Moist, Medi Estimated 20% Unclassifiable Su Cobbles and Boulders to 24" in D Classifiable Material of Which ha 45% Coarse to Fine Gravel, 40% Sand, and 15% Non-Plastic Silt.	AVEL with Sand um Dense. ib-Angular Diameter and 80% d an Estimated Coarse to Fine	)									
- 9 - 10 - 10 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01			Pinkish Brown Silty SAND (SM), Medium Dense. Estimated 10% Gravel, 60% Coarse to Fine Sand Non-Plastic Silt.	Slightly Moist, Medium to Fine d, and 30%	9.0									
- 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12		В	During Excavation it was Noted t was Soft and Decomposed Easily	nat the "Rock" y.	12.0									
TP FULL PAGE 9019.00			Test pit terminated at 13 feet. Test Pits backfilled without compaction verification											
OMU.	b.		Lumos and Associates	Asce	nté Geo	otechnic	al In	vestic	atior	ı				TF
<sup>¯</sup>   <i>LU</i>	M		800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com	LOG OF	EXP	LORA	TOF	RY T	EST	Γ PI	т		B-1	13
	& A	5500	JAIES	Job Number: 9019	004			Da	te: N	ovemb	per 201	7		

											TES	ST I	PIT	No.	TP	-14
Logo	ged B	y:	B. Sexton			Tot	al Dep	oth:	12	feet						
Date	Log	ged:	9-21-2017			Wa	iter De	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater	enco	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	:	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Gro	ound E	Elev.:	546	64.18	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Split Spoon B Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample <u> </u>		Natural Moisture Content, %	Moisture Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	R-Value	Expansion Index
					DN Andium Danas											
			with Roots to 1.5	<b>ND (SM),</b> Dry, N 5' Below Existing	ledium Dense, g Grade.											
- 1 -		B				1.5	2.6			NP	NP	7.3	71.4	21.3	40	
- 2 -			Light to Medium Dense, Moderat Medium to Fine Sand, and 30%	<b>1 Brown Clayey</b> ely Cemented. Gravel, 60% Cc Clay.	<u>SAND (SC).</u> Dry, Estimated 10% barse to Fine											
- 4 -		В				4.0										
- 5 -			Light to Medium to Slightly Moist, Estimated 20% Cobbles and Bo Classifiable Mat	Hedium Dense , Medium Dense Unclassifiable S ulders to 24" in erial of Which h	SAND (SC). Dry e, Not Cemented. Sub-Angular Diameter and 80% ad an Estimated	,										
- 6 -		B	Sand, and 30%	Clay.	% Coarse to Fine											
- 7 -																
- 8 -																
- 9 -																
- 10 -																
- 11 -																
- 12 -		$\vdash$				2.0										
			Test pit terminated at 12 fee Test Pits backfilled without of	t. compaction verification												
	55		Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté (	Geote	chnic	al In	vestig	gatio	n		Ţ	<u>Σ</u>	TF
		A	800 E. Collec Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 77 83-7114	LOG OF	EX	(PLC	RA	TOF	RY T	'ES'	ΓΡΪ	Т		_^ B-'	14
	& A 5	soc	CIATES mourns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	004				Da	ate: N	oveml	oer 20'	17	_	

											TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-19
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Тс	otal Dep	oth:	12	feet						
Date	e Log	ged:	9-22-2017			W	ater De	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater	enco	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	e:	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Gr	round E	Elev.:	545	58.79	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Split Spoon B Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Y Static Water Table	r	Natural Moisture Content, %	Moisture Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	R-Value	Expansion Index
			Brown Silty SA	ND (SM) Dry Me	dium Dense	_										
- 1 -			Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine S Silt/Clay.	Medium to Fine G Sand, and 30% S	Bravel, 60% lightly Plastic	1.0										
- 2 -			Slightly Moist, D Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine S	ense, Moderately Medium to Fine G Sand, and 30% C	Cemented. Fravel, 60% lay.											
- 3 -		В														
- 4 -																
- 5 -																
- 6 -																
7																
- 8 -																
			Light Brown Sil	ty SAND (SM), M	oist, Medium	9.5										
- 10 – 10 – 11		В	Gravel, 60% Co Non-Plastic Silt.	arse to Fine Sand	u 5% Fine I, and 35%											
9019.004 - TE - 11 -																
99-12 ·	[::::];					12.0										
S IP FULL			Test pit terminated at 12 fee Test Pits backfilled without	et. compaction verification												
OMO			Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté	Geote	chnic	al In	vesti	gatio	n		Т	ο Ι	TF
	M	A os	800 E. Colleg Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8 mburos@lun	ge Parkway NV 89706 177 183-7114 nosinc.com	LOG OF	E	XPLC	ORA <sup>-</sup>	TOF	RY T	'ES'	ΓΡΪ	т		B-1	19
	& A	ssoc	IATES Insumswith		Job Number: 9019	.004				Da	ate: N	oveml	ber 20'	17		

											TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-20
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Τc	otal De	pth:	12	feet						
Date	e Log	ged:	9-22-2017			W	ater De	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater	enco	untei	red	
Drill	Туре	e:	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		G	round E	Elev.:	546	60.25	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Split Spoon B Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Static Water Table	-	Natural Moisture Content, %	Moisture Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	R-Value	Expansion Index
			Brown Silty SAN		dium Dense	_										
- 1 -			Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine S Silt/Clay.	Medium to Fine G Sand, and 30% SI	ightly Plastic	1.0										
- 2 -			Slightly Moist, D Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine S	Clayey SAND (SC Dense, Moderately Medium to Fine G Sand, and 30% Cl	<u>),</u> Dry to Cemented. Gravel, 60% lay.											
- 3 -		В														
- 4 -																
- 5 -																
- 6 -																
- 7 -																
- 8 -			Light Brown Sil	ty SAND (SM). Monented. Estimated	oist, Medium d 5% Fine	8.0										
		В	Gravel, 60% Co Non-Plastic Silt.	arse to Fine Sand	I, and 35%											
2 - 10 - 5 - - - - - - - - - - - - -																
AGE 9019.00 - 12 -						12.0										
			Test pit terminated at 12 fee Test Pits backfilled without	et. compaction verification												
-UMC			Lumos and	d Associates	Asce	nté	Geote	chnic	al In	vestig	gatio	n				TF
LU	M	A os	800 E. Colle, Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8 mburns@lun	ge Parkway NV 89706 )77 383-7114 nosinc.com	LOG OF	E	XPLC	)RA	TOF	τ Υγ	ES	ΓΡΪ	т		B-2	20
	à A	5500	JAIES		Job Number: 9019	.004				Da	ate: N	oveml	ber 20	17		

## **TIOGA VILLAGE**



											TES	ST I	PIT	No	TP	-08
Log	ged I	By:	B. Sexton			Т	otal De	oth:	10	feet					_	
Dat	e Log	ged:	9-21-2017			W	ater De	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater	enco	unte	red	
Drill	Туре	e:	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		G	round E	Elev.:	568	32.1 f	eet ±	I	1		1	
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Split Spoon B Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Y Static Wa Table	ter	latural Moisture Content, %	Moisture Content, %	Jry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % 44 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	R-Value	xpansion Index
				SOIL DESCRIPTIO	DN		z						<u>#</u>			ш
- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8		B	Light to Medium (SM), Dry, Medi to 2' Below Grou Unclassifiable S and Boulders to Classifiable Mat Slightly Moist Be	n Brown Silty SA ium Dense to De und Surface. Es bub-Angular to A 24" in Diameter rerial. elow 2'.	ND with Gravel ense, with Roots timated 20% ngular Cobbles and 80%		7.3			NP	NP	24.3	43.4	24.6		0
E 9019.004 - TEST PITS.GPJ US						10.0										
PAG																
LL PULL			Test pit terminated at 10 fee Test Pits backfilled without o	et. compaction verification												
MON MON	1		Lumos and	Associates	٨٥		Gento	chnic	al In	Voctiv	natio	'n	1		- -	
3	 		800 E. Colleç Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 )77 883-7114	LOG C	)FE		DRA	TOF	RY T	ES	ΓΡΪ	т			
	& A	SSOC	CIATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 90	19.004	ļ			Da	ate: N	oveml	ber 20	17	ים	<u>.</u>

											TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-09
Log	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Т	otal De	pth:	12	feet	_				_	
Date	e Log	ged:	9-21-2017	h		W	ater De	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater (	enco	unter	ed	
Drill	l ype	9: 	Caterpillar 329 Excavat	tor		G	round E	=lev.:	583	34.82	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Braphic Log	ample Type	Percolation Test S California Sampler B	plit poon ulk ample	Ziplock Sample Static Wate Table	er	tural Moisture Content, %	Moisture Content, %	/ Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % " - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % #200 Sieve)	R-Value	oansion Index
		v  -	SOIL DE	SCRIPTION			Nat Nat		ŋ			3	(#4	Ň		ĔX
- 1			Light Brown Clayey SA Dense, with Roots to 2' Estimated 10% Medium Coarse to Fine Sand, an Brown Sandy Fat CLAY Stiff.	ND (SC), Below Gro to Fine G nd 30% Cl	Dry, Medium bund Surface. avel, 60% ay.	2.0										
- 3 - 4		B				5.0	14.9			50	34	2.5	38.7	58.8		20
- 5 - - 6 - 7 - 8		B	Light to Medium Brown Slightly Moist, Medium I Below Ground Surface. Unclassifiable Sub-Ang and Boulders to 24" in I Classifiable Material of 10% Medium to Fine Gr Sand, and 30% Clay.	<b>Clayey S</b> Dense, wit Estimate ular to Ang Diameter a Which had avel, 60%	AND (SC). th Roots to 2' d 20% gular Cobbles and 80% d an Estimated o Coarse to Fine											
0 10 148.601 11/22/17 0 10																
AGE 9019.004 - 1EST - 1 - 1						12.0										
			Test pit terminated at 12 feet. Test Pits backfilled without compaction v	erification												
UMO.			Lumos and Associa	ates	Asce	enté	Geote	chnic	al In	vestio	gatior	n		Т	ο Δ	TF
			800 E. College Parkwa Carson City, NV 89700 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 Mburns@lumosinc.com	ay 6 m	LOG OI	F E		)RA	TOF	RY T	EST	<b>Γ ΡΙ</b> ΄	<b>T</b> Der 20 <sup>7</sup>	17	B-	9

											TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	17
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			To	tal Dep	oth:	12	feet		<b>-1</b>			a cl	
Date	e Log Type	gea:	9-21-2017 Caternillar 329	Excavator		VVa Gr	ater De round F	eptn: Tev	NO 561	grou 19 79	foot	ater ( +	enco	unter	ed	
	l ypc			Split	Ziplock		e e		ן <b>סט</b> גַר			<u>-</u>	(e)	(e		ex
ih in et	ic Log	e Type	Test	Spoon	Sample		Aoistu nt, %	ture nt, %	sity, p	uid t, %	iicity x, %	el, % Sieve	1, % 0 Sie	s, % Sieve	alue	pul nd
Dept Fe	Graph	ample	California Sampler	B Bulk Sample			tural I Conte	Mois Conte	/ Den	Lini	Plast Inde:	Grav #	Sand - #20	Fine: #200	R-V	oansic
		<u>ہ</u>		SOIL DESCRIPTION	l		Nai		Ď			(3	(#	v)		EXE
			Brown Silty SAN	ND (SM), Dry, Me	dium Dense.											
			Coarse to Fine S	Sand, and 30% SI	lightly Plastic											
- 1 -			Slit/Clay.													
- 2 -																
- 3 -																
- 4 -			<b>D</b>			4.0										
			Brown Silty SAM Medium Dense.	<u>ND with Gravel (S</u>	<u>M),</u> Moist,											
- 5 -																
- 5 -							40.0								4.0	
		R					13.2			NP	NP	20.3	53.6	26.0	18	
- 6 -																
- 7 -																
- 8 -																
i i																
- 9 -																
2 - 10 -																
- 11 -																
					1	2.0										
- 12 -																
			Test pit terminated at 12 fee Test Pits backfilled without of	t. compaction verification												
		_	Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté	Geote	chnic	al In	vestic	atio	n	I			TF
Ì			800 E. Colleg Carson City	ge Parkway NV 89706					ТОГ	оv т		ים ז	т	ין	LA	
111	M	ns	(775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	77 83-7114			APLU	γKA		KT I	23		1		<b>B</b> _′	17
	& A 3	ssoc	IATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019.	004				Da	te: N	ovemt	ber 20 <sup>-</sup>	17		

									TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-18
Log	ged E	By:	B. Sexton		Total De	epth:	10	feet						
Date	e Log	ged:	9-22-2017		Water D	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater e	enco	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	<b>)</b> :	Caterpillar 329 Excavator		Ground	Elev.:	572	24.51	feet	±				
epth in Feet	phic Log	ple Type	Percolation Test Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	al Moisture itent, %	oisture itent, %	ensity, pcf	.iquid mit, %	asticity dex, %	avel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % 200 Sieve)	nes, % 00 Sieve)	-Value	sion Index
ă	Gra	Sam		Table	Natura	ĞĞ	Dry D		ËĔ	(3" -:	(#4 - #	Fi (< #2	Ŕ	Expan
	 		SUIL DESCRIPTION	dium Donco										
			with Roots to 1' Below Existing Gi 10% Medium to Fine Gravel, 60% Sand, and 30% Slightly Plastic Si	ade. Estimated Coarse to Fine It/Clay.	1.0									
- 1 -			Medium to Orange Brown Silty S. Slightly Moist, Dense, Moderately	AND (SM), Cemented.										
- 2 -		R	Moderately Cemented from 2' to 5	5'.	5.8			NP	NP	1.3	62.4	36.3		
- 3 -														
- 4 -														
- 5 -			Below 5' Not Cemented and with 10% Sub-Angular Cobbles and Be Diameter.	an Estimated oulders to 12" in										
- 6 -														
- 7														
9 US LAB.GUL T														
6 - 1E01 P110.GF														
00.6106 				1	0.0									
יס														
			Test pit terminated at 10 feet. Test Pits backfilled without compaction verification											
	6		Lumos and Associates	Asce	nté Geote	echnic	al In	vesti	gatio	n				TF
		4	800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114	LOG OF	EXPL	ORA	TOF	RY T	ES	t Pl	т		 R^	18
	& A	ssoc	MATES mburns@lumosinc.com	Job Number: 9019.	004			Da	ate: N	ovemt	ber 20	17		

## **DONNER VILLAGE**



									1	TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	·01
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Total De	epth:	4 fe	eet						
Date	Log	ged:	9-20-2017			Water D	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater e	encou	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	<b>:</b>	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Ground	Elev.:	537	78.8 fe	eet ±					
pth in eet	hic Log	ole Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	I Moisture tent, %	isture tent, %	ensity, pcf	quid nit, %	sticity ex, %	vel, % 4 Sieve)	nd, % 200 Sieve)	es, % 0 Sieve)	Value	sion Index
De	Grap	Samp	Sampler			Natura Con	Con	Dry De	בי בי	Pla	Gra (3" - #	Sa (#4 - #2	Fin (< #20	ŗ	Expans
			Curface hee Lieb	SUIL DESCRIPTIO	it Directly Deley										
- 1 -			Surface has Ligh the Surface Cob Encountered to a Excavator Could Grade Due to the	ht Vegetation on obles and Boulde a Minimum of 4' d Only Get Down e Rock/Bedrock	it. Directly Below rs were in Diameter. to 4' Below Content.	4.0									
			Test pit terminated at 4 feet. Test Pits backfilled without of	compaction verification											
				Associates	Asce	enté Geote	echnic	al In	vestig	gatio	n		F	PLA	TE
,,,			Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	уе Рагкway NV 89706 177 83-7114	LOG OF	EXPLO	ORA	TOF	<b>ΧΥ Τ</b>	ES	r Pi	Т		R.	1
10	& A:	SSOC	MATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	0.004			Da	ite: N	ovemb	ber 201	17	ט-	

LUMOS\_TP\_FULL\_PAGE 9019:004 - TEST PITS.GPJ US\_LAB.GDT 11/22/17

											TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-02
ſ	Logo	jed E	By:	B. Sexton			Total	Depth:	12	feet						
	Date	Log	ged:	9-20-2017			Water	Depth:	No	grou	ndwa	ater	enco	unter	ed	
	Drill	Туре	):	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Groun	d Elev.	538	31.38	feet	±				
	Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Split Spoon B Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Static Water Table	atural Moisture	Content, % Moisture Content, %	ry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % 3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % 4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % < #200 Sieve)	R-Value	xpansion Index
					SOIL DESCRIPTION	١	Ž						#)	Ċ		ŵ
	1 -			Medium Brown Medium Dense, Surface. Estima Sub-Angular Co Diameter and 90 had an Estimate 60% Coarse to F	Clayey SAND (SC with Roots to 2' E ated 10% Unclass bbles and Boulde 0% Classifiable M ed 10% Coarse to Fine Sand, and 30	<b>c),</b> Moist, Below Ground sifiable ers to 18" in laterial of Which Fine Gravel, 0% Clay.										
-	3 -															
	- 5		В													
-	6 -															
S_LAB.GDT_11/22/17	8 - 9 -															
й га	- 10				<u></u>		10.0									
1.004 - TEST PITS.GI	11 -			Medium Brown Medium Dense, Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine S	Clayey SAND (SC with No Cobbles Coarse to Fine G Sand, and 30% C	<ol> <li>Moist, and Boulders. ravel, 60% lay.</li> </ol>										
9015		×	R													
S TP FULL PAGE	12 -	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Test pit terminated at 12 fee Test Pits backfilled without of	it. compaction verification		12.0									
SOML			-	Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté Ge	otechnig	al In	vestic	ation	า				TC
LL	LU	M & A:		800 E. Colleg Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8 Mburns@lun	ge Parkway NV 89706 77 83-7114 nosinc.com	LOG OF	004	LORA	TOF	RY T	<b>ES</b>	<b>ΓΡΙ</b>	<b>T</b> Der 20 <sup>-</sup>	17	B-	2

											TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-03
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			То	otal De	oth:	11	feet						
Date	e Log _	ged:	9-20-2017	_		W	ater De	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater	encou	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	<b>)</b> :	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Gr	round E	Elev.:	539	91.0 f	eet ±					
epth in Feet	ohic Log	ple Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample		al Moisture itent, %	oisture itent, %	ensity, pcf	iquid mit, %	asticity dex, %	avel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % 200 Sieve)	nes, % 00 Sieve)	-Value	sion Index
ă –	Gra	Sam	Sampler	D Sample	<sup>-</sup> Table		latura Cor	ΥĞ	Dry D	<u> </u>	E E	Gr: (3" - #	4 Sa 1 Sa	(< #2	Ŗ	xpan
				SOIL DESCRIPTIO	N		Z						(#			ш
			Brown Silty SAN Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine S	<u>ND (SM),</u> Dry, Me Medium to Fine ( Sand, and 25% N	edium Dense. Gravel, 65% Ion-Plastic Silt.	1.0										
- 1 -			Light Orange Br Slightly Moist, M Estimated 5% M Coarse to Fine S	own Silty SAND ledium Dense to ledium to Fine G Sand, and 25% S	(SM), Dry to Dense. ravel, 70% lightly Plastic											
- 2 -			Silt/Clay. A White "Ash" L	ayer from 2' to 2.	5'.											
- 3 -																
- 4 -																
- 5 -																
- 6 -		В														
- 7 -																
- 8 -																
5 - 9 -																
2 - 10 -																
- 400.00						11.0										
5- 11 - 1	+															
			Test pit terminated at 11 fee Test Pits backfilled without of	t. compaction verification												
	6		Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté	Geote	chnic	al In	vestig	gatio	۱		Ţ		TE
			800 E. Colleg Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 77 83-7114	LOG OF	E	XPLC	RA <sup>.</sup>	TOF	RY T	ES	ΓΡΪ	т		-/1	 
10	& A.	ssoc	CIATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	.004				Da	ate: N	ovemt	ber 201	17	<u>ט</u> -	J

										TES	ST I	PIT	No.	TP	-04
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Total D	epth:	12	feet						
Date	e Log	ged:	9-22-2017			Water I	Depth:	No	grou	ndwa	ater	encol	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	<b>;</b>	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Ground	Elev.:	540	01.621	feet :	±				
epth in Feet	phic Log	ıple Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	al Moisture	oisture ntent, %	ensity, pcf	-iquid mit, %	asticity dex, %	avel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % :200 Sieve)	nes, % :00 Sieve)	-Value	ision Index
Ō	Gra	Sam	Sampler	Sample	<sup>-</sup> Table	Col Col	≥ō	Dry D		<u> </u>	 	5 <del>1</del> 8	i= 1 (< #2	R	Expar
	·			SOIL DESCRIPTION	N	~						<del>ب</del>			ш
- 1 -			Orange Brown S Dense to Dense Gravel, 70% Co Slightly Plastic S	<u>Silty SAND (SM).</u> a. Estimated 5% I arse to Fine Sand Silt/Clay.	Dry, Medium Medium to Fine d, and 25%										
- 2 -			Weakly Cement	red from 2' to 4'.											
		В													
- 3															
- 4 -															
- 5 -															
- 6 -															
- 7 -															
- 8 -															
- 9 -															
2 2 2 - 10 -															
- 11 -															
2 - 12 ·		$\vdash$				2.0									
			Test pit terminated at 12 fee Test Pits backfilled without	et. compaction verification											
	(		Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté Geo	echnic	al In	vestig	atior	1		F	PLA	TE
		A	800 E. Colle, Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 177 183-7114	LOG OF	EXPL	ORA	TOF	RY T	EST	r pi	т		B-	4
	& A	ssoc	IATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	004			Dat	te: No	ovemb	ber 201	17		-

											TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-05
Logg	ged B	y:	B. Sexton			Тс	otal Dep	oth:	12	feet						
Date	Logo	jed:	9-22-2017	_		W	ater De	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater	enco	unter	red	
Drill	Type:		Caterpillar 329	Excavator		G	round E	Elev.:	541	1.27	feet	±			1	
)epth in Feet	aphic Log	nple Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	r	al Moisture intent, %	1oisture intent, %	Jensity, pcf	Liquid imit, %	lasticity idex, %	ravel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % #200 Sieve)	ines, % 200 Sieve)	-Value	nsion Index
	Ü	Sar	Sampler		I able		Natur Co	≥ö	Dry [		<u>م ب</u>		S #	⊑ ¥   ⊻		Expa
			Madium Brown	SUIL DESCRIPTION	Dry Madium											
- 1 -			Dense, with Roc Estimated 10% I Coarse to Fine S	Sitty SAND (SW), ots to 2' Below Gro Medium to Fine G Sand, and 30% No	bund Surface. aravel, 60% on-Plastic Silt.											
- 2 -			Medium Brown	Clavev SAND (SC	). Moist.	2.0										
- 3 ·			Medium Dense. Sub-Angular Co Diameter and 90	Estimated 10% l bbles and Boulde 0% Classifiable M	Jnclassifiable rs to 18" in aterial.											
- 4 ·		B					13.3			32	9	12.0	56.1	29.7		9
- 5 -																
- 6 -																
- 7 -																
- 8 -																
6 LAB.GDT 11/																
:0 - 10 - 10 - 10 -																
9019.004 - TES																
∞ 90e - 12 ·						12.0										
IS TP FULL P			Test pit terminated at 12 fee Test Pits backfilled without o	t. compaction verification												
LUMO		7	Lumos and	Associates	Asce	enté	Geote	chnic	al In	vestig	gatior	า			PLA	TE
LU	M		800 E. Colleg Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8 Mourns@lun	ge Parkway NV 89706 77 83-7114 nosinc.com		E	XPLC	ORA <sup>®</sup>	TOF	T YS	ES	ΓΡΪ	T	17	B-	-5
	U AU	500			JOD NUMBER: 9019	0.004				Da	ite: N	ovemi	ber 20	17		

										TES	ST I	PIT	No.	TP	-06
Log	iged E	By:	B. Sexton			Total De	epth:	10	feet						
Dat	e Log	ged:	9-22-2017			Water D	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater	enco	unter	ed	
Dril	І Туре	e:	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Ground	Elev.:	542	21.63	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Soll DESCRIPTION	Ziplock Sample <u>V</u> Static Water Table	Natural Moisture Content, %	Moisture Content, %	Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	R-Value	Expansion Index
		1	Medium Brown	Silty SAND (SM)	Dry Medium										
- 1 - 2 - 3		В	Dense, with Roo	ots to 2' Below Gr	ound Surface.	4.8			NP	NP	4.9	71.3	23.8		7
		B	Red Brown Silty to Slightly Moist Unclassifiable S to 18" in Diamet of Which had ar Sub-Angular Gra and 20% Slightly Due to High Roo was Difficult.	y GRAVEL with S , Dense. Estimat sub-Angular Cobb er and 80% Class n Estimated 40% avel, 40% Coarse y Plastic Silt/Clay ck Content in Tes	and (GM). Dry ed 20% oles and Boulders sifiable Material Coarse to Fine e to Fine Sand, 7.	0.0									
ھ 10 – 10		_				0.0									
05 IP FULL PA			Test pit terminated at 10 fee Test Pits backfilled without of	et. compaction verification											
LUMC			Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté Geote	echnic	al In	vesti	gatior	n			PLA	TE
	JM	4 os	800 E. Colle, Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 077 883-7114	LOG OF	EXPLO	ORA	TOF	T YS	ES	ΓΡΪ	т		B-	-6
	& A	ssoc	CIATES mburns@lun	nosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	004			Da	ate: N	oveml	ber 20 <sup>.</sup>	17		

										TES	ST F	PIT	No.	TP	-07
Logo	ged B	y:	B. Sexton			Total D	epth:	12	feet						
Date	e Logo	ged:	9-22-2017			Water	Depth:	No	grou	ndw	ater (	enco	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	:	Caterpillar 329 Excavate	or		Ground	d Elev.:	552	29.16	feet	±	_			
epth in Feet	phic Log	Iple Type	Percolation Sp Test Sp	olit boon Ilk	Ziplock Sample	al Moisture	oisture ntent, %	ensity, pcf	-iquid mit, %	asticity dex, %	avel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % :200 Sieve)	nes, % 00 Sieve)	-Value	ision Index
Ō	Gra	Sam	Sampler Sampler Soll DE	ample SCRIPTION	<sup>-</sup> Table	Natura	§≥ō	Dry D	ביר	id u	(3" - (3" -	S: (#4 - #	i= Fi (< #2	Я	Expar
- 1 -			Light to Medium Brown (SM), Dry, Medium Dens Below Ground Surface. Unclassifiable Sub-Angu and Boulders to 36" in D Classifiable Material.	Silty SANI se, with Ro Estimated lar to Angu iameter ar	D with Gravel pots to 2'   20% ular Cobbles nd 80%										
- 2 -		_													
- 3 -		B  				6.5			NP	NP	19.7	47.5	26.3		
- 4 -															
						5.0									
- 5 -			Light Brown Silty GRAV to Slightly Moist, Dense, Cemented. Estimated 2 Sub-Angular Cobbles ar Diameter and 80% Class had an Estimated 40% (	EL with Sa and Mode 0% Unclas d Boulders sifiable Ma Coarse to F	and (GM). Dry erately ssifiable s to 24" in terial of Which										
- 7 -			Sub-Angular Gravel, 409 and 20% Slightly Plastic	% Coarse t Silt/Clay.	to Fine Sand,										
- 8 -															
- 9 -															
- 10 -															
- 11 -															
					1	2.0									
			Test pit terminated at 12 feet. Test Pits backfilled without compaction ve	rification											
	ι.		Lumos and Associa	tes	Asce	nté Geo	technic	al In	vestic	gatior	n			ο Ι	TF
			800 E. College Parkwa Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114	у	LOG OF	EXPL	ORA	TOF	RY T	ES	r pi	т		B-	7
	& A 5	SOC	IATES mburns@lumosinc.con	า	Job Number: 9019.	004			Da	te: N	ovemt	ber 20	17	_	-

TEST PIT No.										TP	-15					
Logged By: <b>B. Sexton</b>					Total Depth: 10 feet											
Date Logged: 9-2			9-22-2017	_	Water Depth:			No groundwater encountered								
Drill	Туре	<b>)</b> :	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Gr	round E	Elev.:	539	99.84	feet	±	1			
th in et	ic Log	e Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample		Moisture ent, %	sture ent, %	ısity, pcf	uid t, %	ticity x, %	el, % Sieve)	d, % 0 Sieve)	s, % ) Sieve)	alue	on Index
Dep	Graph	Sample	California Sampler	B Bulk Sample		r	Natural I Conte	Mois Conte	Dry Den	Limi	Plas	Grav (3" - #4	San (#4 - #20	Fine (< #200	R-V	Expansi
			Brown Silty SAN	SOIL DESCRIPTION												
- 1 -			Medium Dense. Sub-Angular Col Diameter and 90 had an Estimate 55% Coarse to F Plastic Silt/Clay.	Estimated 10% bbles and Boulde 0% Classifiable N d 25% Medium to Fine Sand, and 20	MJ, Dry, Unclassifiable ers to 24" in laterial of Which o Fine Gravel, 0% Slightly											
- 2 -			Light to Medium	Brown Silty SAN	D with Gravel	2.0										
			(SM), Dry to Slig Dense.	ghtly Moist, Mediu	Im Dense to											
- 3 -			Moderately Cem	ented from 2' to	4'.											
- 4 -		R					9.1			NP	NP	27.2	56.0	16.9		
- 5 -																
- 6 -																
- 7 -																
- 8 -																
- 9 -																
						10.0										
- 10 -						10.0										
			Test pit terminated at 10 feel	t.												
			Test Pits backfilled without c	compaction verification			-									
		4	Rumos and 800 E. Collec Carson City,	ASSOCIATES ge Parkway NV 89706	Asce LOG OF	enté FE	Geote	chnic ) <b>RA</b>	ai In <sup>.</sup> T <b>OF</b>	vesti	gatio	n T Pl	т		PLA	TE
LU	(775) 883-7077         Fax: (775) 883-7114         mburns@lumosinc.com							17	<b>B-</b> ′	15						

LUMOS\_TP\_FULL\_PAGE 9019:004 - TEST PITS.GPJ US\_LAB.GDT 11/22/17

	TEST PIT No.										No.	TP	-16				
Logo	ged B	sy:	B. Sexton			Total	Depth	h: <b>8</b>	feet								
Date	Log	ged:	9-22-2017	_		Wate	Water Depth: No groundwater encountered										
Drill	Туре	:	Caterpillar 329	Excavator		Grou	nd Ele	ev.: 5	455.39	feet	±						
spth in ⁻eet	ohic Log	ple Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	I Moisture	itent, % bisture	nsture itent, % ensity, pcf	iquid nit, %	asticity lex, %	avel, % #4 Sieve)	ınd, % 200 Sieve)	ies, % 00 Sieve)	Value	sion Index		
ă –	Gra	Sam	Sampler	SOIL DESCRIPTION	<sup>-</sup> Table	Natura	Cor			El Di	Gra (3" - <del>1</del>	Sa (#4 - #)	Fir (< #2	Ŗ	Expan		
- 1 -			Brown Clayey S Estimated 20% Cobbles and Bo Classifiable Mat Estimated10% M Coarse to Fine S Silt/Clay. Surfac Diameter.	AND (SC), Dry, M Unclassifiable Sut ulders to 24" in Di erial of Which hac Medium to Fine Gr Sand, and 30% Sli ce Boulders to at L	ledium Dense. o-Angular ameter and 80% I an avel, 60% ightly Plastic ∟east 48" in	2.5											
			Pinkish Brown	Clayey SAND (SC)	, Slightly	2.5											
- 3 -		R	Moist, Dense to Strongly Cemen	Very Dense, Mod ted.	erately to	15	3.2		37	15	95	65.3	25.2	7	12		
							5.2		57		3.5	00.0	20.2	1	12		
- 4 -			Very Difficult Ex Layer.	cavation of the Te	est Pit in this												
- 5 -																	
- 6 -																	
- 7 -																	
9.004						8.0											
			Test pit terminated at 8 feet.	-													
				Associates	٨٥٥٥	nté Co	I		nvecti	l natio	n		Τ,	- IC	<b>-</b>		
			800 E. Colleç Carson City, (775) 883-70	ge Parkway NV 89706 77	LOG OF	F EXPLORATORY TEST PIT											
LU	LUMOS & ASSOCIATES Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com					019.004 Date: November 2017							17	<b>D</b> -'	10		

R.A.			SYME	BOLS	TYPICAL				
IVI	AJUR DIVISI	UN5	GRAPH LETTER		DESCRIPTIONS				
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVELS		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES				
	GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES				
COARSE GRAINED	MORE THAN 50% OF	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES				
SOILS	RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES				
		CLEAN SANDS		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES				
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER IHAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SANDY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES				
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION	SANDS WITH FINES		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES				
	PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES				
				ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY				
FINE GRAINED	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS				
SOILS				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY				
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER				МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS				
FHAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY				
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS				
Н	GHLY ORGANIC	SOILS		РТ	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS				

			Other Tests		
	AN	AN	ALYTICAL TEST (pH, Soluble Sulfate,	and Resistivity)	1
	С		CONSOLIDATION TEST		
	DS		DIRECT SHEAR TEST		
	MD		MOISTURE DENSITY CURV	/E	
	Lumos	and Associates	Ascenté Geotechn	ical Investigation	PLATE
	Carson (775) 8	College Parkway City, NV 89706 83-7077	LEGE	ND	D 21
LUIVIL & AS	SOCIATES mburns	@lumosinc.com	Job Number 9019 004	Date: November 2017	

Job Number: 9019.004

Date: November 2017

## **APPENDIX C**



## **SIERRA VILLAGE**



Sheet 1 of 1										В	OR	ING	i Nc	). B·	-01
Logg	Logged By: <b>B. Sexton</b> To					Total De	pth:	21.	5 fee	t				_	
Date	ELOG	ged:	9-27-2017	lafaa Cuaad Ct		Water D	epth:	No	grou	indw fact	ater e	enco	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	<b>)</b> :	I ruck Mounted	Jerco Speed Sta	ar 55	Ground		540	5.41	Teet	I 				
th in et	ic Log	e Type	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	s/Foot	sture ent, %	Unit nt, pcf	uid t, %	ticity x, %	el, % Sieve)	d, % 0 Sieve)	s, % Sieve)	on Index	alue
Dept Fe	Graph	Sample	Modified California	B Bulk Sample		Blows	Mois Conte	Dry Weigh	Liq	Plast Inde	Grav (3" - #4	Sanc #4 - #20	Fine: (< #200	Expansio	R-V
				SOIL DESCRIPTIO	N							E			
-  			Light to Medium Very Dense. Est Gravel, 60% Coa	<b>Brown Clayey S</b> imated 10% Me arse to Fine San	SAND (SC), Dry, dium to Fine id, and 30% Clay.										
-  		В													
- 5 - - 						36									
- - - -															
_ _ 10 _						0.0									
		¥	Slightly Moist, D 65% Coarse to F Silt.	Fine Sand, and 3	d 5% Fine Gravel 30% Non-Plastic	, *17									
 - 15  		X	Sample had Gra	avel to 1" in Diam	neter.	16									
 -  - 20 -			Light Brown Po	orly Graded SAN Dense.	<u>20</u> <b>ID (SP).</b> Slightly	0.0 *15			NP	NP	4	91	5		
			,		2.	1.5	<u> </u>								
			*Blows/Foot - Modified Ca Boring terminated at 21.5 Boring backfilled with grou	lifornia Sampler. feet. ut or excavated soils and ta	mped at the surface										
	6		Lumos and	Associates	Asce	nté Geote	Ité Geotechnical Investigation						Т	ο Δ	TF
LU	800 E. College Parkway         Carson City, NV 89706         L           LUNOS         Fax: (775) 883-7017         L			LO	G OF EXPLORATION							C-1			
	& A.	ssoc	IATES mouns@ium	105110.0011	Job Number: 9019	.004	04 Date: November 2017						17		

She	Sheet 1 of 1								В	OR	ING	i No	. В·	-02
Logo	Logged By: B. Sexton				Total Depth: 21.5 feet									
Date	e Log	ged:	9-27-2017		Water Depth: No groundwater encountered						ed			
Drill	Туре	<b>e</b> :	Truck Mounted Jefco Speed Sta	r 55	Ground E	Elev.:	545	6.33	feet	±				
epth in Feet	phic Log	ple Type	Shelby Tube Spoon	Ziplock Sample	ws/Foot	oisture ntent, %	'y Unit ight, pcf	iquid mit, %	asticity dex, %	avel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % 200 Sieve)	nes, % 00 Sieve)	sion Index	-Value
ă –	Gra	Sam	California D Sample	Table	Blo	GĞ	A D	Ē	립	Gr	4 Se 4 Se	(< Fir	xpan	Ŗ
			SOIL DESCRIPTION	l							(#		ш	
		B	Light to Medium Brown Clayey S Medium Dense to Dense. Estima Medium to Fine Gravel, 60% Coa Sand, and 30% Clay. An Abundant Amount of Surface Least 48" in Diameter are in and a Boring. Up to 1 3/4" Gravel at 5' Found in	AND (SC), Dry, ited 10% rse to Fine Boulders to at Around this the Sample.	*12									
- - 15 - - 		<b>.</b>	Medium Brown Silty SAND (SM),	15 Moist, Dense.	*19			NP	NP	1	69	30		
   			During Drilling the Auger was Dril Approximate 24" Diameter Bould	ling Through an er from 18' to 20'. 20	).0									
			Reddish Brown Clayey SAND with Moist, Very Dense. Estimated 30 Fine Gravel, 40% Coarse to Fine Clay. The Reddish Colored Rock Weathered. *Blows/Foot - Modified California Sampler. Boring terminated at 21.5 feet. Boring backfilled with grout or excavated soils and tarm	h Gravel (SC), % Coarse to Sand, and 30‰ <sub>21</sub> a was Highly	59									
	1		Lumos and Associates	٨	I ntá Geoto	chnic	al Inv	(Detic	natio	<u> </u>	1	Τ.	- IC	<b>T</b> -
	Lumos and Associates800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077Fax: (775) 883-7114			LO						'	PLATE			
& ASSOCIATES mburns@lumosinc.com Job Number:					Date: November 2017							17	-	_
## **TIOGA VILLAGE**



She	et 1	of	1						В	OR	ING	Nc	). B-	-06
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton		Total Dep	oth:	41.	5 feet	t					
Date	e Log	ged:	10-4-2017		Water De	epth:	No	grou	ndwa	ater e	encou	Inter	ed	
Drill	Туре	<b>)</b> :	Track Mounted CME 850		Ground E	Elev.:	564	0.31	feet	±				
th in et	lic Log	e Type	Shelby Split Tube Spoon	Ziplock Sample	s/Foot	sture ent, %	Unit ht, pcf	uid it, %	ticity x, %	el, % · Sieve)	d, % 00 Sieve)	s, % ) Sieve)	on Index	alue
Dep Fe	Graph	Sampl	Modified Bulk California BSample		Blows	Mois Conte	Dry Weigł	Limi	Plas Inde	Grav (3" - #4	San (#4 - #20	Fine (< #200	Expansi	R-V
	  / // /		Light to Modium Brown Clover S			 								
		R	to Slightly Moist, Very Dense. Es Medium to Fine Gravel, 60% Coar Sand, and 30% Clay.	timated 10% se to Fine										
		X			48									
		X			44									
		×	With Gravel at 15'.		100+									
		Ž	Highly Weathered Rock with Quar	tz in it at 20'.	*100+									
		×			*100+									
		¥		35	*56									
		Ź,	Brown Silty SAND with Gravel (SI	<u>M),</u> Slightly <sub>36</sub>	5.3 *36			NP	NP	18	62	20		
			Light to Medium Brown Clayey SA Moist, Very Dense. Estimated 10	AND (SC). % Medium to										
40 -	<u>;,;,</u> ,	$\forall$	Clay.	5anu, anu 30%4(	0.0									
	-		<b>Reddish Brown Clayey SAND (SC</b> Slightly Moist, Very Dense, and Re Weathered. Estimated 10% Med Gravel, 60% Coarse to Fine Sand	2), Dry to <sup>41</sup> ock is Severely ium to Fine , and 30% Clay.	<u>7.5</u> 00									
			*Blows/Foot - Modified California Sampler. Boring terminated at 41.5 feet. Boring backfilled with grout or excavated soils and tamp	ed at the surface										
			Lumos and Associates	Asce	nté Geote	chnic	al Inv	/estig	gatior	n	. 1	T	PLA	TE
,,,,			800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114	LO	G OF E	XPL	.OR	ATI	ON				<b>C</b> -	6
	& A	ssoc	IATES mburns@lumosinc.com	Job Number: 9019.	004			Da	te: N	ovemb	oer 201	7		-

She	et 1	l of	1						В	OR	ING	Nc	). B-	-07
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton		Total Dep	pth:	<b>16</b> 1	feet						
Date	Log	ged	10-5-2017		Water De	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater e	encou	Inter	ed	
Drill	Туре	e:	Track Mounted CME 850		Ground E	Elev.:	560	9.07	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Shelby Tube Split Spoon   California Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Static Water Table	Blows/Foot	Moisture Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	Expansion Index	R-Value
	<u> </u>			N.4 11										
		В	Light Brown Clayey SAND (SC), D     Dense.     Estimated 10% Medium to     60% Coarse to Fine Sand, and 30%     Rock Encountered at 2', Drilled App     Hour and got to 3'.     Decided to Swit     10" of Recovery, Gray Rock with Bo     Approximate 45° Angle Fractures, C     Completely Weathered Rock	brine Gravel, 6 Clay. 6 Clay. 7 Croximately 1 7 Characteristics of the content of	3.0									
_														
- 5 - - 	-		20" of Recovery, Gray Rock with Bo Approximate 45° Angle Fractures, 0 Completely Weathered Rock	th Vertical and RQD =	5.0									
L				7	7.0									
	-		13" of Recovery, Gray Rock with Bo Approximate 45° Angle Fractures, ( Completely Weathered Rock	oth Vertical and ) RQD =										
_ 10 _				10	).0									
- 10 - - -	-		9" of Recovery, Gray Rock with App Angle Fractures, 0 RQD = Complet Rock	proximate 45° ely Weathered										
			21" of Doooyony Croy Dook with A	12 Derovimento 45°	2.0									
	-		Angle Fractures, 0 RQD = Complet Rock	ely Weathered										
			7" of Recovery, Gray Rock with App Angle Fractures, 33% RQD = Weat	proximate 45° hered Rock	5.0				<u> </u>					
- 15 -			11" of Recovery, Gray Rock with A Angle Fractures, 0 RQD = Complet Rock	oproximate 45° ely Weathered	5.0 5.0									
BURING 901			Decided to Stop Coring at 16', Due Excessive Amount of Trips in and C Highly Fractured Rock.	to the Dut for the										
			*Blows/Foot - Modified California Sampler. Boring terminated at 16 feet. Boring backfilled with grout or excavated soils and tamped	at the surface										
UMC:		-	Lumos and Associates	Asce	nté Geote	chnic	al Inv	/estic	atio	n		T		TF
			800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114	LO	G OF E	XPL	OR		ION			'	<b>C-</b>	7
	& A	sso	CIATES mburns@lumosinc.com	lob Number: 9019.	004			Da	ite: N	ovemb	per 201	7		-

She	et 1	0	f 1						В	OR	ING	No	). B-	-08
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton		Total De	oth:	<b>40</b> 1	feet						
Date	e Log	ged	: <b>10-6-2017</b>		Water De	epth:	No	grou	ndwa	ater e	encol	Inter	ed	
Drill	Туре	<b>:</b> :	Track Mounted CME 850		Ground E	Elev.:	567	0.42	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Shelby   Split   Z     Tube   Spoon   Z     California   B   Bulk   Y     Sample   T	Ziplock Sample Static Water Table	Blows/Foot	Moisture Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % 3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % 4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % < #200 Sieve)	pansion Index	R-Value
			SOIL DESCRIPTION							:)	#	•)	ŵ	
		В	Light Brown Clayey SAND (SC), Dry, Ve Dense. Estimated 10% Medium to Fine 6 60% Coarse to Fine Sand, and 30% Clay	ry Gravel, ⁄.										
- 5 -		×	Rock Encountered at 4', Drilled Approxim Hour and got to 5'. Decided to Switch to 37" of Recovery, Gray Rock with Approxi Angle Fractures and some Small Roots, 2	ately 1/2 <sub>5</sub> Coring. mate 45° 29%	.0 100+									
  10		_	RQD = Weathered Rock. Additionally, if was any "soil" left after coring is was Sim Clayey SAND (SC). 20" of Recovery. Grav Rock with Approxi	there ilar to a 10 mate 45°	.0									
  	-		Angle Fractures, 0 RQD = Completely W Rock	eathered	.0									
	-		15" of Recovery, Gray Rock with Approxi Angle Fractures, 15% RQD = Completely Weathered Rock	mate 45°										
- 20  	-		48" of Recovery, Gray Rock with Approxi Angle Fractures, 53% RQD = Moderately Weathered Rock	20 mate 30°	.0									
- 25 -	-	-	14" of Recovery, Gray Rock with Approxi Angle Fractures, 21% RQD = Completely Weathered Rock	25 mate 45° ′ 27	.0									
		-	24" of Recovery, Gray Rock with Approxi Angle Fractures, 25% RQD = Completely Weathered Rock 3" of Recovery, Gray Rock with No Noted	mate 45 <sub>29</sub> ' 30	.o ,o									
	-		Fractures do Small Rock Size, 0 RQD = Completely Weathered Rock	32 34	.ρ .ρ									
	-		Fractures do Small Rock Size, 0 RQD = Completely Weathered Rock 10" of Recovery, Gray Rock with No Note Fractures do Small Rock Size, 0 RQD = Completely Weathered Rock	ed										
- 40 -			23" of Recovery, Gray Rock with both Ve Approximate 45° Angle Fractures, 0 RQE Completely Weathered Rock *Blows/Foot - Modified California Sampler. Boring terminated at 40 feet.	rtical an¢b ) =	.0									
			Boring backfilled with grout or excavated soils and tamped at the su	face										
FONC			Lumos and Associates 800 E. College Parkway	Ascer	nté Geote	chnic	al Inv	/estig	gation	ו		F	PLA	TE
LU			(775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 CIATES mburns@lumosinc.com	LOC	OF E ق <sup>004</sup>	XPL	_OR	Da	I <b>UN</b>	ovemb	er 201	7	C-	8

She	et 1	Ιo	f <b>1</b>						В	OR	ING	Nc	). B·	-09
Log	ged E	By:	B. Sexton		Total De	pth:	<b>30</b> 1	feet						
Date	e Log	ged	: <b>10-9-2017</b>		Water D	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater e	encou	Inter	ed	
Drill	Туре	): 	Track Mounted CME 850		Ground I	Elev.:	572	8.81	feet	±				
oth in eet	nic Log	le Type	Shelby Tube Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	s/Foot	sture ent, %	· Unit ht, pcf	quid iit, %	sticity ex, %	/el, % 4 Sieve)	ld, % 00 Sieve)	es, % 0 Sieve)	ion Index	/alue
Del	Grap	Samp			Blow	Cont	Dr) Weig	Lin	Pla	Gra (3" - #	Sar (#4 - #2	Fin (< #20	Expans	R-\
			Light Brown Clovey SAND (SC)											
			Dense. Estimated 10% Medium 60% Coarse to Fine Sand, and 3 Rock Encountered at 1.5', Drilled 40 Minutes and got to 3'. Decide Coring.	to Fine Gravel, 0% Clay. Approximately d to Switch to	3.0									
- 5 - - - - - -	_		Angle Fractures, 0 RQD = Comp Rock 12" of Recovery, Red and Gray F Approximate 45° Angle Fractures	Rock with s, 0 RQD =										
F .	-													
- 10 -				<u>1</u> (	0.0									
	-		11" of Recovery, Red and Gray F Approximate 45° Angle Fractures Completely Weathered Rock. A RQD was in the Red Colored Ro	Rock with s, 7% RQD = dditionally, the ck.										
F.	1			15	5.0									
- 15 - - - - - -	-		6" of Recovery, Red and Gray Re Noted Fractures do Small Rock S Completely Weathered Rock	ock with No Size, 0 RQD =										
_ _ _ 20 -			After the 20' Elevation, the Drille	20 20 Pulled out of the	0.0									
3DT 11/22/17	-		Hole to Check the Bit, it was Wor Changed it. When the Driller wa into the Hole, the Hole had cave Ground Surface. Therefore, he h from 10' to 20'. After Getting Bac We Continued Coring to 25'. No	rn Out, He s Tripping Back d in to 10' Below had to "recore" ck Down to 20', Sample was										
			$\mathbb{R}$ Recovered for this Section.		5.0 /									
H - Borings.gpJ US	-		10" of Recovery, Red and Gray F Noted Fractures do Small Rock S Completely Weathered Rock "Sandy" Material was within the F This was caused by the mixing o and the existing material. The du	Rock with No Size, 0 RQD = Barrel Section. f the drilling fluid										
NG 9019:00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00			"flush out" the sandy material. A trying and over and hour to do th stop the coring. The Material wa	fter 2 times of is, I decided to s too heavy to										
LOG_ST_BOR			flush out and cause the coring to *Blows/Foot - Modified California Sampler. Boring terminated at 30 feet. Boring backfilled with grout or excavated soils and tam	not work.										
SOM		_	Lumos and Associates	Δερο	nté Geoto	chnic	al Inv	/petir	natio	<u>.</u> ר		Τ.	<u>א</u> ור	тс
= 			800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114	LO	G OF E	<b>XPI</b>	_ <b>O</b> R			1		'	с-	9
	& A	SSC	CIATES mburns@lumosinc.com	Job Number: 9019.	004			Da	te: N	ovemb	oer 201	7	-	-

She	eet	<b>1</b> of	1							В	OR	ING	No	. В·	-10
Log	ged I	Зу:	B. Sexton			Total De	pth:	<b>20</b> 1	feet					_	
Dat	e Log	gged:	10-10-2017			Water De	epth:	No	grou	Indw	ater e	encou	unter	ed	
Drill	Тур	e:	Track Mounted	d CME 850		Ground E	=lev.:	591	7.57	feet	±				
spth in Feet	ohic Log	ple Type	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	ws/Foot	oisture itent, %	y Unit ight, pcf	iquid mit, %	asticity dex, %	avel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % 200 Sieve)	nes, % 00 Sieve)	sion Index	-Value
ă –	Gral	Sam	California	D Sample	Table	Blo	Σõ	Ve		<u>n</u> n	G. G.	8 4 8 8	Fii < #2	xpan	Ŕ
				SOIL DESCRIPTION								*	)	Ш	
- - - - - - -		B	Light Brown Si Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine	Ity SAND (SM), Dry Medium to Fine Gr Sand, and 30% No	/, Very Dense. avel, 60% n-Plastic Silt.										
- 5 ·  						64									
		В	Light to Mediun Medium Dense Gravel, 60% Co	<u>m Brown Clayey SA</u> . Estimated 10% N parse to Fine Sand,	ND (SC), Dry, ledium to Fine and 30% Clay.										
- 10 ·					11	*16									
3DT 11/22/17		Z	Whiteish Brow Gravel (SW-SM to Dense.	n Well-Graded SAN ), Slightly Moist, M	D with Silt and edium Dense				NP	NP	27	63	10		
04 - BORINGS.GPJ US_LAB.					10	22									
ORING 9019.0 - 20 - 20 ·			Rock Encounte Minutes and go Sample at 20',	red at 19', Drilled A t to 20'. Performed with No Recovery.	pproximately 20 a Split Spoon	).5 100+									
S LOG ST B			*Blows/Foot - Modified C Boring terminated at 20 Boring backfilled with gr	California Sampler. feet. out or excavated soils and tampe	ed at the surface										
OMU			Lumos an	d Associates	Asce	nté Geote	chnic	al Inv	vestig	gatior	n		F	ΝΔ	TF
			800 E. Colle Carson City (775) 883-7 Fax: (775) CIATES mburns@lu	ege Parkway , NV 89706 077 883-7114 mosinc.com	LO		XPL	_OR	` RAT	ION		ner 201		C-^	10
						-									

	She	et ′	l of	1								В	OR	ING	i No	). В·	-11
	Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Т	otal De	oth:	21.	5 fee	t .				_	
	Date	e Log –	ged	10-10-2017			V	Vater De	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater (	enco	unter	ed	
	Drill	Type	9: 	I rack Mounted	d CME 850		(	Fround E	lev.:	573	59.11	feet	±				
	oth in eet	hic Log	le Type	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	-4	s/Foot	sture ent, %	' Unit Iht, pcf	quid iit, %	sticity ex, %	vel, % 4 Sieve)	nd, % 00 Sieve)	es, % 0 Sieve)	ion Index	/alue
	БЩ	Grap	Samp	California		Table	alei	Blow	Cont	Dr) Weig	Lin	Pla	Gra (3" - #	Sar (#4 - #2	Fin (< #20	Expans	R-\
F				Light Brown Cl	avev SAND (SC).	Drv Dense											
	-  			Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine	Medium to Fine G Sand, and 30% Cla	ravel, 60% ay.											
	  		B	Color Change t	o Reddish Brown a	at 5'											
	  		X	Color Change (				25									
	- - 10 —			Yellowish Brov	vn Silty SAND with	Gravel (SM),	10.0										
	  		Å	Slightly Moist, N	/ery Dense.			69									
NGS.GPJ US_LAB.GDT 11/22/17	- - 15 - - - - - -							*42			NP	NP	15	66	19		
DRING 9019.004 - BURI	- 20 - - - 		X				21.5	51									
S LOG ST BC				*Blows/Foot - Modified C Boring terminated at 21 Boring backfilled with gr	California Sampler. .5 feet. out or excavated soils and tamp	ed at the surface											
LUMO				Lumos an	d Associates	As	cente	é Geote	chnic	al Inv	vestig	gatio	n				TE
	LU	M & A		800 E. Colle Carson City (775) 883-7 Fax: (775) CIATES	ege Parkway , NV 89706 077 883-7114 mosinc.com	ل Job Number: ۹۵	<b>OG</b>	<b>OF E</b>	XPI	.OR	<b>XATI</b> Da	ION	ovemł	per 20 <sup>.</sup>	17	C-'	11

She	et 1	l of	<sup>-</sup> 1							В	OR	ING	Nc	). B-	-12
Log	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Total De	pth:	21.	5 feet	t					
Date	e Log	ged	10-10-2017			Water De	epth:	No	grou	ndwa	ater e	encou	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	e:	Track Mounted C	CME 850		Ground E	Elev.:	573	1.87	feet	±				
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Shelby Tube Modified California	Split Spoon Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Static Water Table	Blows/Foot	Moisture Content, %	Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	Expansion Index	R-Value
	·/·/·			SOIL DESCRIPTION											
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		В	Light Brown Clay Dense. Estimate 60% Coarse to Fi	<b>rey SAND (SC),</b> 1 d 10% Medium to ne Sand, and 30	Dry, Very o Fine Gravel, l% Clay.	60									
10 -         		X	Light to Medium Gravel (SC), Mois Medium to Fine G Sand, and 20% C	<b>Brown Clayey S/</b> st, Dense. Estim Gravel, 60% Coar Clay.	10 AND with nated 20% rse to Fine	18									
			Yellowish Brown Moist, Very Dense	Clayey SAND wi	i <u>th Gravel (SC),</u>	*45			27	10	38	40	22		
5T BORING 9019.004 -			Orange Brown C Dense. Estimate 60% Coarse to Fi	ayey SAND (SC) d 10% Medium to ne Sand, and 30	<u>,</u> Moist, Very o Fine Gravel, % Clay. <sub>21</sub>	53									
0			*Blows/Foot - Modified Califi Boring terminated at 21.5 fe Boring backfilled with grout	ornia Sampler. eet. or excavated soils and tamp	ed at the surface										
108 1			Sound backmed with grout												
			Lumos and A 800 E. College Carson City, N (775) 883-707 Fax: (775) 883	Associates 9 Parkway IV 89706 7 3-7114	Ascel LO	nté Geote <b>G OF E</b>	chnic XPL	al Inv	vestig ATI	gatior I <b>ON</b>	ר 		F	PLA	TE 12
	& A	sso	CIATES mburns@lumo	osinc.com	Job Number: 9019.	004			Da	ite: N	ovemb	ber 201	17		

She	et 1	l of	1							В	OR	ING	i No	). B-	-13
Logo	ged E	Зу:	B. Sexton			Total De	pth:	21.	5 feet	t					
Date	e Log	ged:	10-11-2017			Water De	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater e	encol	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	9: 				Ground E		5/5	88.00	Teet	I				
epth in Feet	phic Log	nple Type	Shelby Tube Modified	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	ws/Foot	loisture ntent, %	ry Unit ight, pcf	_iquid imit, %	asticity dex, %	avel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % ≄200 Sieve	nes, % 200 Sieve)	nsion Index	-Value
	Gra	San	California	Sample	Table	BG	≥ß	°₹		르드	. Gr (3" -	S #1 - #	i= =) (< #2	Expar	Ľ
				SOIL DESCRIPTION								£)		ш	
		В	Light Brown Cl Dense. Estima 60% Coarse to	iayey SAND (SC), 1 ted 10% Medium to Fine Sand, and 30	Dry, Very o Fine Gravel, % Clay.										
 - 5 - 		X	Yellowish Brow Slightly Moist, Medium to Fine Sand, and 20%	<mark>vn Silty SAND with</mark> ∕ery Dense. Estim e Gravel, 65% Coar Non Blastic Silt	Gravel (SM). ated 15% rse to Fine	5.0									
  - 10  		¥	A Reddish Cold	ored Vein in the Sa	mple at 10'.	*52									
			Color Change t	o Reddish Brown.	11	85									
	<u> -</u>   -		Rock Encounte small rock was	red at 18', Drilled to recovered from the	o 20.5'. Only a e sample spoon.										
- BOR															
10.01 - 20 -	1	Ζ			20	).5 100+									
	_		*Blows/Foot - Modified ( Boring terminated at 21 Boding backfilled with at	California Sampler. .5 feet.	ad at the surface										
				d Associatos						!			<b>-</b>		
LUI			800 E. Colle	ege Parkway	Asce	nte Geote	cnnic	ai inv	estig	jatioi	n		F	۲LA	ΤE
		4	Carson City (775) 883-7	v, NV 89706 077 002 7114	LO	G OF E	XPL	OR	ATI	ON					12
	& A	US SSOC	CIATES mburns@lu	oo3-7114 mosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	004			Da	te: N	ovemb	ber 201	17	`=ں	15

## **DONNER VILLAGE**



She	et 1	0	F <b>1</b>							В	OR	ING	No	). B-	-03
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Total De	pth:	<b>20</b> 1	feet						
Date	e Log	ged	: <b>9-29-2017</b>			Water D	epth:	No	grou	ndwa	ater	encou	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	<b>:</b>	Truck Mounted	I Jefco Speed St	ar 55	Ground I	Elev.:	540	3.58	feet	±				
ith in eet	nic Log	e Type	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	s/Foot	sture ent, %	Unit ht, pcf	luid it, %	ticity x, %	el, % ¦ Sieve)	d, % 00 Sieve)	is, % ) Sieve)	on Index	alue
Dep	Graph	Samp	California		Table	Blow	Cont	Dry Weig	Lim Lim	Plas	Grav (3" - #4	Sar (#4 - #2	Fine (< #20	Expans	R-\
	1.1.1		Light to Modium	Brown Clayov											
			Medium Dense, are Present, the	, Except when C en it is Slow Drilli	obbles/Boulders ng.										
			Approximate 30	)" Diameter Boul	der from 2.5' to 5'.										
- 5 - - 			Sample Spoon	had Mostly Rock	c in it.	45									
			Minimum 18" Di 6.5' to 8', Switch	iameter Boulder n to Coring at 8'.	Encountered from	3.0									
	_		8" of Recovery, Fractures, and ( Rock	Rock was Hard 0% RDQ = Com	with Near Vertical pletely Weathered										
- 10 - - - - -	-		44" of Recovery Vertical Fracture Weathered Roc	/, Rock was Hard es, 9% RDQ = C kk	d with Near completely										
3.GDT 11/22/17 12	-		60" of Recovery	/, Rock was Hard	d with	5.0									
19.004 - BUKINGS.GPJ US_LA	-		Completely We	athered Rock											
0 0 0 0					20	0.0									
- 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20			*Blows/Foot - Modified C Boring terminated at 20 Boring backfilled with gro	alifornia Sampler. feet. out or excavated soils and ta	amped at the surface										
SOMU	,		Lumos and	d Associates	Asce	nté Geote	chnic	al Inv	/estio	atior	า				TF
⊐ 		Ą	800 E. Colle Carson City (775) 883-70	ege Parkway , NV 89706 077	LO	G OF E	XPI	OR		ON					
LU	M & Al	DS SSO	Èax: (775) 8 CIATES mburns@lur	383-7114 mosinc.com	Job Number: 9019	004			Da	te: N	ovemt	oer 201	17	C-	3

She	et 1	l of	1							В	OR	ING	Nc	). B-	-04
Log	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Total De	pth:	41.	5 feet	t					
Date	e Log	ged	10-2-2017			Water D	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater e	encol	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	): 	Truck Mounted	Jefco Speed Sta	ar 55	Ground	Elev.:	537	<b>'0.61</b>	feet	±				
th in et	ic Log	e Type	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	s/Foot	sture ent, %	Unit nt, pcf	uid t, %	ticity x, %	el, % Sieve)	d, % 0 Sieve)	s, % I Sieve)	on Index	alue
Dep	Graph	Sampl	Modified California			Blows	Mois	Dry Weigl	Lim	Plas Inde	Grav (3" - #4	San (#4 - #20	Fine (< #200	Expansi	R-V
			Estimated 10% Coarse to Fine S	Medium to Fine ( Sand, and 30% (	Gravel, 60% Clay.										
		B	Slightly Moist ar	nd Slight Mottling	at 5'.	*51									
10		X	No Mottling at 1	0'.		37									
E		$\square$				50									
15 - 			Sample Had Gra	avel at 15'		*100+									
_ 20 -		7	Brown Clavey S	AND with Grave	20 1 <b>(SC)</b> . Moist	) <u>.0</u> *17									
			Medium Dense, Coarse to Fine ( and 30% Clay.	Slight Mottling. Gravel, 50% Coa	Estimated 20% rse to Fine Sand,										
- 25 -		$\mathbf{X}$	Color Change to	o Reddish Brown	at 25'.	16									
- 00 11/22/17			Brown Silty SAM	ND with Gravel (S	<u>3</u> <b>3M),</b> Moist, Very	).0 *36			34	10	25	53	22		
GPJ US_LAB		×	Dense, and Slig the Sample was	htly Plastic Fines Severely Weath	s. The Gravel in lered										
19.004 - BORINGS 		X				52									
- 04 - 04 - 04 - 04 - 04 - 04 - 04 - 04		Z	Non-Plastic at 4	0'.	4	1.5 *42			NP	NP	25	59	15		
LOG			Boring terminated at 41.5 Boring backfilled with gro	5 feet. but or excavated soils and tan	nped at the surface										
SOMU	1		Lumos and	l Associates	Δερο	nté Geote	chnic	' al Inv	/estin	natio	n		T,	א וכ	тс
Ľ			800 E. Colle	ge Parkway	7300				, cong	Jan 01	•		1'	-LA	
LU	M	05	Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8 mburns@lun	NV 89706 077 083-7114 nosing com	LO	g of e	EXPL	_OR	ATI	ON				C-	4
	& A.	SSO	CIATES Insumselui		Job Number: 9019	.004			Da	ite: N	ovemb	oer 201	17		

She	et 1	of	1								В	OR	ING	Nc	). B-	-05
Logo	ged E	By:	B. Sexton			Tota	al Dep	oth:	40	feet						
Date	e Log	ged:	10-3-2017			Wat	er De	epth:	No	grou	ndw	ater e	encol	unter	ed	
Drill	Туре	e:	Truck Mounted	Jefco Speed Star	<sup>-</sup> 55	Gro	und E	lev.:	544	3.5 f	eet ±					
Jepth in Feet	aphic Log	nple Type	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample	er	ows/Foot	Aoisture Intent, %	Jry Unit eight, pcf	Liquid .imit, %	lasticity ndex, %	ravel, % #4 Sieve)	and, % #200 Sieve)	ines, % 200 Sieve)	nsion Index	R-Value
	Gra	Sar			lable		Big	≥ö	°₹		ር ጉ	G	s 1	⊑ ¥ 	Expa	ĽĽ.
				SOIL DESCRIPTION									<i>(</i>		ш	
			Brown to Reddi Dry, Very Dense Gravel, 60% Co	sh Brown Clayey e. Estimated 10% parse to Fine Sand	<u>SAND (SC),</u> Medium to Fine , 30% Clay.	•										
 			Encountered Ro Coring at 5'.	ock at 3', Drilled Ui	ntil 5', Switch to	55	100+									
			20" of Recovery Noted Fractures Completely Wea	r, Red Colored Ro do to Small Rock athered Rock	ck with No Size, 0 RQD =											
						10.0										
	-		24" of Recovery Approximate 45 Completely Wea	r, Red Colored Ro <sup>°</sup> Angle Fractures, athered Rock	ck with , 13% RQD =											
- ·						15.0										
- 15 - - - - - -	-		27" of Recovery Approximate 45 Completely Wea	r, Red Colored Roo ° Angle Fractures, athered Rock	ck with , 0 RQD =	18.0										
 20			24" of Recovery Approximate 45 Weathered Roc	r, Red Colored Roo ° Angle Fractures, k	ck with , 38% RQD = _;	20.0										
	-	_	20" of Recovery Approximate 45 Completely Wea	r, Red Colored Rog ° Angle Fractures, athered Rock	ck with , 0 RQD =;	22.5										
- 25 - - 25 -	-		24" of Recovery Approximate 45 Completely Wea	r, Red Colored Ro <sup>°</sup> Angle Fractures, athered Rock	ck with , 17% RQD = 3	25.0										
11/22/17 11111	-		Approximate 45 Completely Wea	Red Colored Roo <sup>°</sup> Angle Fractures, athered Rock	ck with , 8% RQD = ;	29.0										
	-		8" of Recovery, Fractures do Sn Completely Wea	Red Colored Rocl nall Rock Size, 0 F athered Rock	k with No Noteq RQD =	30.0										
DRINGS.GPJ		_	Fractures do Sn Completely Wea	Red Colored Rock nall Rock Size, 0 F athered Rock	RQD =	33.0										
9019:004 - B( 1	-		Approximate 45 Completely Wea	* Angle Fractures, athered Rock	, 0 RQD =											
			Approximate 45 Completely Wea	a Colored Ros Angle Fractures, athered Rock	ck with , 7% RQD =	10.0										
- 40 - S 507 S0			*Blows/Foot - Modified Ca Boring terminated at 40 Boring backfilled with gro	alifornia Sampler. feet. out or excavated soils and tamp	ed at the surface											
LUMC		/_		Associates	Asc	enté G	Geote	chnic	al Inv	vestig	gatior	٦		F	PLA	ΤE
LU	M		Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	ge Parkway NV 89706 177 183-7114 nosinc.com	LC	)G O	FE	XPL	OR						C-	5
	U Ai	1000	JIAILU		JOD NUMBER: 901	9.004				Da	ite: N	ovemb	per 201	17		

R.#		ONG	SYME	BOLS	TYPICAL
IV	AJUR DIVISI	UN3	GRAPH	LETTER	DESCRIPTIONS
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVELS		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
COARSE GRAINED	MORE THAN 50% OF	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
SOILS	RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
	SAND AND	CLEAN SANDS		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SANDY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION	SANDS WITH FINES		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
	PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
				ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCH FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
FINE GRAINED	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
SOILS				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER				МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
Н	IGHLY ORGANIC	SOILS		РТ	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS

			Other Tests		7		
	AN	AN	ALYTICAL TEST (pH, Soluble Sulfate,				
	С		CONSOLIDATION TEST				
	DS		DIRECT SHEAR TEST				
	MD		MOISTURE DENSITY CUR				
	Lumos and Associates 800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com		Ascenté Geotechr	Ascenté Geotechnical Investigation			
			LEGE	C-14			

## **APPENDIX D**











Γ		60													
								CL	СН						
		50						<u> </u>							
	Р														
	L A	10													
	S T	40													
	C														
	T	30													
	1														
	N D	20						/	1						
	E X														
		10							_						
			CL-ML					(ML)	(MH)						
		0						$\bigcirc$							
		t	J	20	J		40		6 אייי סיייסיי	Ю т	8	30	100		
┢	Sner	ime	n Identifi	11	PI	PI	Fines	Classific	tion						
	FT-1	Specimen identification     LL     PL     I       FT_1     3.0     NP     NP     NP				NP	19								
	FT-2			6.0	NP	NP	NP	22	SIITY SAND (SM)						
	FT-3			3.0	NP	NP	NP	14	14 Silty SAND with Gravel (SM)						
*	FT-4		0.5 32 19 1:					16	Clavey GRAVEL with Sand (GC)						
117															
11/22															
B.GD															
KENCI															
04 - F/															
9019.0	ļ														
S M															
ERG ERG	LUMOS and Associates 800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com					Ascenté Geotechnical Investigation					PLATE				
IEKB						ATTERBERG LIMITS' RESULTS D-2									
OS AI															
LUMK	& ASSOCIATES					Job Number: 9019.004 Date: November 2017									





9019.004 - FAULT TRENCH.GPJ US\_LAB.GDT 11/22/17 COMPACTION

## **APPENDIX E**













ŝ PITS GP. TEST 9019.004 SIZE GRAIN



ŝ PITS GP. TEST 004 9019 SIZE GRAIN









ŝ PITS GP. TEST 004 9019 SIZE GRAIN



ŝ PITS GP. TEST 004 9019 SIZE GRAIN



SU PITS GP. TEST 9019.004 SIZE GRAIN




9019.004 - TEST PITS.GPJ US\_LAB.GDT 11/22/17 COMPACTION



COMPACTION



COMPACTION





11/22/1 LAB.GDT PITS.GPJ US TSH-9019.004



LAB.GDT PITS.GPJ US TSH-9019.004



#### **Analytical Report**

Workorder#: Date Reported:

17091289 10/3/2017

Client: Lumos and Associates-C.C			Sampled By: B. Sexton				
Project Name: PO #:	Soil-Resistivit Suite-AASHTO/ 9	Resistivit Suite-AASHTO/ 9019.004/TP-5, 4'-5'					
Laboratory Accred	litation Number: NV015/CA299	90					
Laboratory ID	Client Sample ID		Date	e/Time San	pled	Date Received	
17091289-01	TP-5, 4'-5'	TP-5. 4'-5'		09/22/2017 0:00		9/27/2017	
	-, -						
						Date/Time	Data
Parameter	Method	Result	Units	PQL	Analyst	Analyzed	Flag
Chloride	EPA 300.0	< 10	mg/Kg	10	KL	09/28/2017 20:13	
рН	SW-846 9045D	6.64	pH Units		LRB	09/28/2017 15:39	
pH Temperature	SW-846 9045D	22.0	°C LRB		LRB	09/28/2017 15:39	
Resistivity	AASHTO T288	5860	Ohms-cm		LRB	09/28/2017 16:08	
Sodium	ASTM D2791	< 0.01	%	0.01	LRB	09/29/2017 9:38	
Sodium Sulfate as Nat	2SO4 Calculation	< 0.01	%	0.01	LRB	09/29/2017 10:41	
Sulfate	SM4500 SO4E	< 0.01	%	0.01	LRB	09/29/2017 9:53	
Laboratory Accred	litation Number: NV015/CA299	90					
Laboratory ID	<b>Client Sample ID</b>		Date	e/Time Sam	pled	Date Received	
17091289-02	TP-8 4'-5'		09/2	09/22/2017 0:00		9/27/2017	
Parameter	Method	Result	Units	PQL	Analyst	Date/Time Analyzed	Data Flag
Chloride	EPA 300.0	< 10	mg/Kg	10	KL	09/28/2017 20:41	
рН	SW-846 9045D	6.73	pH Units		LRB	09/28/2017 15:39	
pH Temperature	SW-846 9045D	22.0	°C		LRB	09/28/2017 15:39	
Resistivity	AASHTO T288	8410	Ohms-cm		LRB	09/28/2017 16:08	
Sodium	ASTM D2791	< 0.01	%	0.01	LRB	09/29/2017 9:38	
Sodium Sulfate as Nat	2SO4 Calculation	tion < 0.01		0.01	LRB	09/29/2017 10:41	
Sulfate SM4500 SO4E		< 0.01	%	0.01	LRB	09/29/2017 9:53	
Laboratory Accred	litation Number: NV015/CA299	90					
Laboratory ID	<b>Client Sample ID</b>		Date	e/Time San	pled	Date Received	
17091289-03	TP-10, 2'-3'		09/22/2017 0:00 9/27/2017				
						Date/Time	Data
Parameter	Method	Result	Units	PQL	Analyst	Analyzed	Flag
Chloride	EPA 300.0	< 10	mg/Kg	10	KL	09/28/2017 21:10	
рН	SW-846 9045D	6.79	pH Units		LRB	09/28/2017 15:39	
pH Temperature	SW-846 9045D	22.0	°C		LRB	09/28/2017 15:39	
Resistivity	AASHTO T288	3150	Ohms-cm		LRB	09/28/2017 16:08	
Sodium	ASTM D2791	< 0.01	%	0.01	LRB	09/29/2017 9:38	
Sodium Sulfate as Nat	2SO4 Calculation	< 0.01	%	0.01	LRB	09/29/2017 10:41	
Sulfate	Sulfate SM4500 SO4E < 0.01		%	0.01	LRB	09/29/2017 9:53	

Silver State Labs-Reno

www.ssalabs.com

SilverState 1135 Financial Blvd Analytical Laboratories Reno, NV 89502

Sierra Environmental Monitoring (775) 857-2400 FAX: (888) 398-7002

Original



Lumos and Associates 800 E. College Parkway Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114

Ascenté Geotechnical Investigation

PLATE

**E-6** 

SOLUBLE SULFATE

Job Number: 9019.004

Date: Nov. 2017

# **APPENDIX F**





AB.GDT ŝ d C RORINGS 004 9019 SIZE GRAIN







AB.GDT ŝ d C RORINGS 004 9019 SIZE GRAIN NOS







AB.GDT ŝ a C RORINGS 004 9019 SIZE GRAIN



AB.GDT ŝ a C RORINGS 004 9019 SIZE GRAIN NOS



LUMOS & ASSOCIATES CLIENT NNV1 Partners	L.A. Abrasion and Speci ASTM C-535 AND ASTM C-1	<b>fic Gravity</b> 27
PROJECT Ascente		
<b>JOB NO.</b> <u>9019.004</u>	SAMPLED BY B. Sexton	DATE <u>10/6/2017</u>
TEST METHOD	TESTED BY K. Panos/A. Szendrey	DATE <u>11/3/2017</u>
LAB NO	SAMPLE LOCATION Boring 8 from 5' to 40'	
AGGREGATE DESCRIPTION Native	COLOR Gr	ayish Brown
L.A. ABRASION GRADING (C535) 1	2 3	
A INITIAL WEIGHT <u>10,009.70</u>	_(g)	
B FINAL WEIGHT 5,848.10	_(g)	
C WEIGHT LOSS <u>4,161.60</u>	_(g) (A-B)	
D PERCENT LOSS 42	(%) (A-B)/A (Nearest 1%)	
SPECIFIC GRAVITY (C127)		
(A) = DRY WEIGHT 2389.7 GRAM	15	
(B) = SSD WEIGHT 2521.8 GRAM	45	
(C) = SUMBERGED WEIGHT 1453.1 GRAM	IS	
BULK SPECIFIC GRAVITY (A/(B-C) =	2.236	
SSD SPECIFIC GRAVITY (B/B-C) =	2.360	
APPARENT SPECIFIC GRAVITY $(A/A-C) =$	2.551	
% ABSPORTION ((B-A)/A) =	5.50%	
Lumos and Associates	Ascenté Geotechnical	
800 E. College Parkway Carson City. NV 89706	L_A_ ABRAS	SION
LUMOS & ASSOCIATES (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 bsexton@lumosinc.com		GRAVITY F-3

# **APPENDIX G**



Job # 9019.004 Client: NNV1, Partners, LLC Description: Pavement Calculations By: B. Sexton

R-Value of 18 Utilized due to it being the middle R-value tested R-Value for Gravel (Type II, Class B) = 70 T.I. = 5 (Car and Light Truck Areas) Gf = 2.50GE = 0.0032(TI)(100-R) tlayer = GE/Gf

 $GE_{AC}= 0.0032(5)(100-70) = 0.48'$ t<sub>AC</sub>= 0.48/(2.50)\*(12") = 2.30" => <u>use 3" asphalt</u> t<sub>AC(actual)</sub>= (3)(2.50)/12" = 0.63'

 $GE_{AB}= 0.0032(5)(100-18) = 1.31'$ t<sub>AB</sub>= (1.31 - 0.63)(12")/1.1 = 7.4" => <u>use 8" aggregate base</u>

Therefore, use 3" of Asphalt Concrete underlain by a minimum of 6" of Aggregate Base in Car and Light Truck Areas.



Lumos and Associates 800 E. College Parkway

Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 bsexton@lumosinc.com Ascenté Geotechnical Investigation

PLATE

G-1

**PAVEMENT DESIGN** 

Job Number: 9019.004

Date: Nov. 2017

## **APPENDIX H**



# Image: Second system Design Maps Summary Report User-Specified Input Report Title Ascente Tue October 31, 2017 00:55:07 UTC Design Maps Summary Report

Building Code Reference Document2012/2015 International Building Code<br/>(which utilizes USGS hazard data available in 2008)Site Coordinates39.3686°N, 119.8039°WSite Soil ClassificationSite Class D – "Stiff Soil"

Risk Category I/II/III



#### **USGS-Provided Output**

s <sub>s</sub> =	2.322 g	<b>S</b> <sub>мs</sub> =	2.322 g	<b>S</b> <sub>DS</sub> =	1.548 g
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub> =	0.813 g	S <sub>M1</sub> =	1.219 g	<b>S</b> <sub>D1</sub> =	0.813 g

For information on how the SS and S1 values above have been calculated from probabilistic (risk-targeted) and deterministic ground motions in the direction of maximum horizontal response, please return to the application and select the "2009 NEHRP" building code reference document.



# **APPENDIX I**



#### Fred Saunders Consulting Geologist Certified Professional Geologist #11807 252 La Costa Ave Dayton, Nevada 89403 775-450-4540 cell ftsaunders@charter.net

To: Mitch Burns & Bert Sexton From: Fred Saunders RE: Ascente Trenches September 21, 2017

I was contacted by Mitch Burns of Lumos & Associates (Lumos) to see if I would go out to Ascente Property and evaluate the trenching that their doing. The objective of the trenching is to determine if the faults previously mapped by geologist from the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology and myself actual exist and if they extend onto the proposed Ascente development (Figure 1 Fault and trench map of Ascente Property).

I met Bert Sexton at the property on September 20, 2017 and we proceeded to Trench 1, located on the northeast corner of the property. The trench locations are plotted on figure 1 and the coordinates are tabulated in appendix A. Bert Sexton, the onsite engineer, supervised cutting the trenches that were located in the field by Mitch Burns of Lumos.

#### Faults

The faults on the property can be divided into two types. The first type are faults within or separating the volcanic units. These are not significant faults as they are believed to be quite older. The geologic mapping did not find any indication that these can be traced into alluvial or show offset of the alluvial. These fault usually trend in a northeast or northwest direction.

The second type of faults are the north-south faults that separate alluvial from bedrock volcanics. These faults are the significant faults as they are younger and potentially more active. The Galena Creek fault which is inferred to lie just off the west edge of the property is a typical example of this type of fault as it separates outcropping volcanic units on the east from outwash deposits (? landslide breccia) on the west. It is mapped as a concealed fault by Romanelli & others (Preliminary Revised Geologic Map of the Reno Urban Area, Nevada, 2011). Concealed faults mean there is no surface evidence that the fault exist other than a linear feature. Their mapping shows that this north-south trending fault takes a sharp northeast turn across the north end of the Ascente property and then turns back north-south north of the property. The author believes that these are two different north-south faults and that there is no evidence to connect them (See Geology Map).

All trenches were surveyed using a handheld GPS (Nad 27 Zone 11 UTM coordinates). The trenches were photographed, but the sun angle and cloudy conditions were not conducive for good photographs (Photos attached).

#### Trench 1

Trench 1 is a 55 foot long roughly east-west trench that parallels the north property line. It was designed to cut a N 50°W trending fault that is defined by linear contact separating andesite's and dacites. The trench did not extend far enough to the east to intercept the fault. The last few feet on the eastern end of the trench did have some iron stained clay that could indicate it was getting near the fault.

The location of the fault doesn't affect any of the development plans for the project so it was decided not to extend the trench any further east.

#### Trench 2

Trench 2 is located on the northwestern edge of the property. It is 85 feet long and dug in an east west direction paralleling the north property line. It was designed to test the extension of the large north-south trending proposed Galena Creek fault that follows Galena Creek. This fault separates the outcropping dacitic and andesitic volcanics on the east from outwash deposits (thought to be a landslide breccia) on the west that is derived of dominantly large granitic boulders. The trench did not intercept the fault as the fault projects further west off the Ascente Propety on the geologic map and therefore should not effect the Ascente development. The alluvial consisted mostly of rounded boulders of dacites from the hill to the east. There were a few decomposed boulders of granitics on the west end that would have come from a western source.

#### Trench 3

This trench is on west edge of the property line approximately 1,150 feet south of trench 2. It is 75 feet long in an east-west direction. It was designed to test the same north-south trending fault. The fault was not recognized in the trench but there was a dark clay zone. The dark clay zone is believed to be from the bottom of the existing drainage. The trench contained numerous large rounded boulders of granitics on the west end and more angular cobbles of dacitic volcanics on the east end, this suggests a fault could be located further to the west off the Ascente property. The fault believed located to the west of the trench should not effect the Ascente development.

## Trench 4

This trench is again an east-west trench located near the west edge of the property approximately 100 feet south of the turnoff to the water tower road. The trench was 80 feet long. It was designed to test the same north-south trending fault located near the west edge of the property. The trench did not cut the fault zone as the trench was located too far to the east. It did intersect a small N30°E near vertical fracture zone within the dacitic volcanics. This fracture is believed to an older feature as it is within the dacitic rocks and of little significance to the project.

## Trench 5

This was an added trench located approximately 300 feet southeast of trench 4. It was designed to test a north-south striking fault that separated outcropping dacitic rocks on west from alluvial on east

(Saunders Geologic Map 2016). This trench was deemed important as it was within designed lots in the development.

The east-west trench was only 40 feet long. The west end of the trench was cut down 4 feet to outcropping dacite. At about  $\frac{1}{2}$  way in the trench the fault was cut. The alluvial had a marked color change and represented a sharp drop off of basement depth. The trench was cut 15 feet deep on the east side of the fault and never encountered bedrock, thus indicating a vertical offset. This fault will need to have a 50 foot offset designed in the Ascente plot plan.

The projected core hole located east of the trench could be moved closer to the trench to see if it encounters bedrock at a reasonable depth.



Figure 1 Geology Map showing 2017 trenches



## Appendix A

Trench #	Location	Eastin	g Northii	ng Discription
Trench 1	West end	258535	4361938	Qal with angular dacite boulders
Trench 1	East End	258552	4361939	same with some red clay at end
Trench 2	West end	258251	4361942	Qal with some granitics boulders
Trench 2	East end	258277	4361943	Qal with rounded dacite boulders
Trench 3	West end	258203	4361586	Qal with mostly granitic boulders
Trench 3	East end	258226	4361576	Qal with angular dacite cobbles
Trench 3	Fault	258215	4361582	dark clay zone in Qal
Trench 4	West end	258168	4360567	Qal with angular dacite cobbles
Trench 4	East end	258193	4360565	dacite bedrock
Trench 4	Fault	258188	4360565	N30°E 55°SE fault in bedrock
Trench 5	West End	258287	4360500	4' to dacite bedrock
Trench 5	East End	258299	4360496	15 ft. all alluvial
Trench 5	N-S Fault*	258291	4360500	soil color change 15 ft. drop no dacite

\*Trench was too dangerous to get into to get attitude on fault.

- Fred Saunders
- Consulting Geologist
- Certified Professional Geologist #11807
  - 252 La Costa Ave
  - Dayton, Nevada 89403
    - 775-450-4540 cell
  - ftsaunders@charter.net
- To: Mitch Burns

November 3, 2017

• From: Fred Saunders

Re:Seismic Findings Report Issues - Ascente Project

I was asked by Mitch Burns to review the findings report submitted by Gasch Geophysics Services (GES) of Rancho Cordova, California. More specifically I was asked to review siesmic line RS-4 in relationship to my interpretations of the fault scenario at the Ascente Property.

I reveiwed the report and feel the possible fault zone in siesmic line RS -4 could be reinterpretated as a bedrock or basement high. Seismic geophysics essentially measures variances in the density of the material that it encounters and reflects various sound waves velocities back to the surface. Denser rocks such as outcrops will reflect higher velocity sound waves than lower density rocks such as alluvial.

If you compare Line RS-4 to line RS-5, where in line RS-5 we definitely hit the N-S fault that that can be seen on the google earth base map of GES. In line RS-5 There is a marked break in seismic profile right at the fault, (shown in blue on my figure below) showing a decrese in wave speed on the hanging wall side of the fault. Indicating this a normal fault dipping eastward with a small downdropped displacement on the east or hanging wall side of the fault which is what we saw in Trench 5. This is typical of horst and graben faulting within the Basin and Range Province of Nevada.

Line RS-4 on the does not show this same pattern. It shows a zone that GES has outlined as a possible fault zone in the Seismic Velocity Section – RS-4 as a higher density material sourrounded on both sides by a lower

density material. Indicating this a bedrock high surrounded by gravels or alluvial shwon in red on attached Seismic Line RS-4 profile.

This seismic anomaly is located just north of an outcropping ridge that is interpretated to extend out under the alluvial to the north as shown on the attached Ascente Geologic map and enlargement map. The attitudes, although somewhat chaotic on the geologic map, due roughly indicate that the outcropping ridge of dacite bedrock is is elongated in a north-south direction and dipping back to the west, which is what the seismic data indicates.

Other less likely, but possible options could be it is an intrusive high similar to the rhyolitic intrusive located ½ mile to the southeast. The choatic nature of the flow bedding attitudes also might indicate that this maybe an older vent area for the volcanics.

A shallow (100 feet deep) reverse circulation drill hole located in the center of the high on seismic line RS-4 would test the bedrock extension and hopefully help resolve the question.

#### RS Line Location Map



#### Base Map Courterly of Google Earth Pro

#### Figure 2







GES seismic lines RS-4 & 5 with new interpretation.



Ascente Geology map showing block of enlarged area and seismic line RS-4.


Enlarged Ascente Geology Map with Siesmic line RS-4 and Proposed bedrock extension.

# **APPENDIX J**





INFILTRATION RATE OF SOILS ASTM D-3385

Project Name: Ascente Geotechnical Investigation

Client: NNV1 Partners, LLC

Job Number: 9019.004

Sample Location: Infiltration 3 on 10/4/2017

Project Location: Reno, Nevada

Tested By: Z. Lim

_	_												 	 	 
	Water - Annular (°C)	20.0	22.0	20.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	22.0	27.0	29.0	29.0	31.0			
Temperature	Water - Inner (°C)	20.0	22.0	20.0	21.0	22.0	21.0	22.0	27.0	29.0	29.0	30.0			
	Ground (°C)	12.0	25.2	21.0	25.2	27.6	33.6	32.2	33.8	29.4	15.6	23.2			
er Ring)	Flow = $\Delta V (in^3) / Time (hr)$		854.3	561.4	415.0	451.6	317.3	286.8	286.8	262.4	250.2	262.4			
ge Cylinder (Out	Δ Vol. (in <sup>3</sup> ) = mL*0.0610237		213.6	140.4	103.7	112.9	158.7	143.4	286.8	262.4	250.2	262.4			
Lar	Δ Vol. (mL)		3500	2300	1700	1850	2600	2350	4700	4300	4100	4300			
r Ring)	Flow = $\Delta V (in^3) / Time (hr)$		170.9	115.9	109.8	103.7	67.1	24.4	45.8	47.3	47.3	45.8			
all Cylinder (Inne	Δ Vol. (in <sup>3</sup> ) = mL*0.0610237		42.7	29.0	27.5	25.9	33.6	12.2	45.8	47.3	47.3	45.8			
S	Δ Vol. (mL)		700	475	450	425	550	200	750	775	775	750			
jhts	Annular (in.)	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75			
Heiç	Inner (in.)	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75			
ne		0:00 START	0:15	0:30	0:45	1:00	1:30	2:00	3:00	4:00	5:00	6:00			
Tir		9:13 AM	9:28 AM	9:43 AM	9:58 AM	10:13 AM	10:43 AM	11:13 AM	12:13 PM	1:13 PM	2:13 PM	3:13 PM			

Infiltration Rate =  $(750m)/(3600sec)(0.073m^2))x10^{-6} = 2.9x10^{-6} m/s$ 



INFILTRATION RATE OF SOILS ASTM D-3385

Project Name: Ascente Geotechnical Investigation

Client: NNV1 Partners, LLC

Job Number: 9019.004

ASTM D-3385

Sample Location: Infiltration 2 on 10/3/2017

Project Location: Reno, Nevada

Tested By: K. Panos and Z. Lim

	Water - Annular (°C)	24.0	21.0	23.0	21.0	19.0	21.0	22.0	25.0	29.0	26.0	22.0	29.0			
Temperature	Water - Inner (°C)	24.0	21.0	24.0	21.0	21.0	20.0	22.0	25.0	28.0	25.0	22.0	27.0			
	Ground (°C)	5.6	2.0	22.0	9.6	17.0	16.6	27.0	29.0	17.2	24.4	14.4	13.2			
er Ring)	Flow = $\Delta V (in^3) / Time (hr)$		1000.8	317.3	268.5	292.9	134.3	61.0	329.5	329.5	134.3	146.5	277.7			
ge Cylinder (Out	Δ Vol. (in <sup>3</sup> ) = mL*0.0610237		250.2	79.3	67.1	73.2	67.1	30.5	329.5	329.5	134.3	146.5	277.7			
Lai	Δ Vol. (mL)	ı	4100	1300	1100	1200	1100	500	5400	5400	2200	2400	4550			
er Ring)	Flow = $\Delta V (in^3) / Time (hr)$		347.8	134.3	280.7	48.8	73.2	85.4	51.9	41.2	36.6	42.7	38.1			
all Cylinder (Inne	Δ Vol. (in <sup>3</sup> ) = mL*0.0610237		87.0	33.6	70.2	12.2	36.6	42.7	51.9	41.2	36.6	42.7	38.1			
μS	A Vol. (mL)		1425	550	1150	200	600	700	850	675	600	200	625			
ghts	Annular (in.)	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75			
Hei	Inner (in.)	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75			
me		0:00 START	0:15	0:30	0:45	1:00	1:30	2:00	3:00	4:00	5:00	6:00	7:00			
Ē		9:07 AM	9:22 AM	9:37 AM	9:52 AM	10:07 AM	10:37 AM	11:07 AM	12:07 PM	1:07 PM	2:07 PM	3:07 PM	4:07 PM			

Infiltration Rate =  $(625m)/(3600sec)(0.073m^2))x10^{-6} = 2.4x10^{-6}m/s$ 



INFILTRATION RATE OF SOILS ASTM D-3385

Project Name: Ascente Geotechnical Investigation

Client: NNV1 Partners, LLC

Job Number: 9019.004

ASTM D-3385

Sample Location: Infiltration 1 on 10/2/2017

Project Location: Reno, Nevada

Tested By: K. Panos and Z. Lim

Temperature Water - Inner   Water -		(°C) Annular (°C)	(°C) Annular (°C) 31.5 33.0	(°C) Annular (°C) 31.5 33.0 31.0 31.0	(°C) Annular (°C) 31.5 33.0 31.0 31.0 31.0 31.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         35.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           30.0         30.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         30.0           22.0         32.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           30.0         30.0           29.0         30.0           28.0         30.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           30.0         30.0           20.0         30.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         20.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           30.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         30.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         20.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         20.0           22.0         20.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         20.0           22.0         20.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.6         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           30.0         30.0           22.0         30.0           28.0         30.0           22.0         20.0           22.0         20.0	(°C)         Annular (°C)           31.5         33.0           31.0         31.0           31.0         31.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           35.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         32.0           32.0         30.0           22.0         30.0           22.0         20.0           22.0         20.0
Tempe			35.0 31	35.0 31 42.4 31	35.0 31 42.4 31 33.6 31	35.0 31 42.4 31 33.6 31 40.6 35	35.0         31           42.4         31           33.6         31           40.6         35           37.2         35	35.0     31       35.0     31       42.4     31       33.6     31       40.6     35       37.2     35       37.0     35	35.0     31       42.4     31       42.4     31       33.6     31       40.6     35       37.2     35       37.0     35       37.6     30	35.0     31       42.4     31       42.4     31       33.6     31       33.6     35       37.2     35       37.0     35       37.6     30       37.6     32	35.0     31       35.0     31       42.4     31       33.6     31       33.6     31       37.2     35       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32       37.6     32	35.0     31       42.4     31       42.4     31       33.6     31       33.6     35       37.2     35       37.6     30       37.6     30       37.6     30       37.6     32	35.0     31.       35.0     31.       42.4     31.       33.6     31.       33.6     31.       37.0     35.       37.0     35.       37.6     30.       37.6     32.	35.0     31.       35.0     31.       42.4     31.       33.6     31.       33.6     31.       37.2     35.       37.6     30.       37.6     30.       37.6     30.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       14.2     28.       12.7     28.       7.0     22.	35.0 31 42.4 31 33.6 31 40.6 35 37.2 35 37.2 35 37.6 30 37.6 30 37.6 30 37.6 20 14.2 29 14.2 29 14.2 29 14.2 28 12.7 28 7.0 22	35.0 31 42.4 31 33.6 31 40.6 35 37.2 35 37.2 35 37.6 32 37.6 32 37.6 29 14.2 29 14.2 29 14.2 29 14.2 29 14.2 28 7.0 22	35.0     31.       35.0     31.       42.4     31.       33.6     31.       33.6     31.       37.2     35.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     32.       37.6     28.       14.2     28.       12.7     28.       12.7     28.       12.7     28.       12.7     28.       12.7     28.       12.7     28.       12.7     28.       7.0     22.	35.0 31 42.4 31 33.6 31 40.6 35 37.2 35 37.2 35 37.6 30 37.6 30 37.6 22 14.2 29 14.2 29 14.2 29 14.2 29 14.2 28 12.7 28
Iter Ring) Flow	= ΔV (in <sup>3</sup> ) / Time (hr)		I	- 1550.0	- 1550.0 854.3	- 1550.0 854.3 512.6	- 1550.0 854.3 512.6 512.6	- 1550.0 854.3 854.3 512.6 512.6 512.6 336.7	- 1550.0 854.3 854.3 512.6 512.6 512.6 396.7 256.3	- 1550.0 854.3 854.3 512.6 512.6 396.7 396.7 256.3 26.3	- 1550.0 854.3 854.3 512.6 512.6 396.7 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 268.5	- 1550.0 854.3 854.3 512.6 512.6 396.7 396.7 256.3 256.3 268.5 268.5 250.2	- 1550.0 854.3 854.3 512.6 512.6 396.7 396.7 256.3 266.8 268.5 268.5 268.5 268.5	- 1550.0 854.3 854.3 512.6 512.6 396.7 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.8	- 1550.0 854.3 512.6 512.6 336.7 336.7 256.3 256.3 256.8 268.5 256.8 268.5 256.8	- 1550.0 854.3 854.3 512.6 512.6 396.7 256.3 256.3 256.3 266.8 268.5 268.5 268.5 268.5	- 1550.0 854.3 854.3 512.6 396.7 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.8 256.8	- 1550.0 854.3 512.6 512.6 396.7 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.3 256.8 256.8 256.8 256.8
Δ Vol. (in <sup>3</sup> )	-/ = mL*0.0610237 =			387.5	- 387.5 213.6	- 387.5 213.6 128.1	- 387.5 213.6 128.1 128.1	- 387.5 213.6 128.1 128.1 128.1	- 387.5 213.6 128.1 128.1 198.3 198.3	- 387.5 213.6 128.1 128.1 128.1 198.3 128.1 286.8			387.5 387.5 213.6 128.1 128.1 128.1 198.3 198.3 286.8 268.5 268.5 268.5 286.8		 387.5 213.6 128.1 128.1 198.3 128.1 128.1 286.8 268.5 268.5 268.5 268.5		 387.5 213.6 128.1 128.1 128.1 128.1 128.1 286.8 268.5 268.5 268.5 268.5 268.5 268.5	 387.5 213.6 128.1 128.1 128.1 198.3 198.3 286.8 268.5 268.5 268.5 268.5
				- 6350	- 6350 3500	- 6350 3500 2100	- 6350 3500 2100 2100	- 6350 6350 3500 2100 2100 3250	- 6350 6350 2100 2100 3250 3250 2100	- 6350 6350 2100 2100 3250 3250 2100 4700	- 6350 6350 3500 2100 2100 3250 2100 2100 4700 4400	- 6350 6350 2100 2100 2100 2100 2100 4700 4400 4400	- 6350 6350 2100 2100 3250 3250 3250 4700 4100 4100	- 6350 53500 35000 2100 2100 2100 4700 4400 4100 4100 4700 4700	- 6350 6350 2100 2100 2100 2100 4700 4700 4700 4700 4700	- 6350 2100 2100 2100 4700 4100 4700 4700	- 6350 2100 2100 2100 2100 4700 4700 4700 4700 4700	- 6350 6350 2100 2100 2100 2100 4700 4700 4700 4700
er Ring) Flow	= ΔV (in <sup>3</sup> ) / Time (hr)			213.6	213.6 97.6	213.6 97.6 85.4	213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2	213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2 82.4	213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2 82.4 88.5	213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2 82.4 88.5 94.6	213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2 82.4 83.5 94.6 82.4	213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2 82.4 88.5 94.6 82.4 82.4	213.6 213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2 12.2 88.5 88.5 94.6 82.4 82.4 82.4 70.2	213.6 97.6 85.4 85.4 88.5 94.6 88.5 94.6 82.4 85.4 85.4	213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2 82.4 82.4 82.4 82.4 82.4 82.4 70.2	213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2 88.5 94.6 82.4 82.4 85.4 85.4 70.2	213.6 97.6 85.4 85.4 88.5 94.6 88.5 94.6 82.4 85.4 85.4 70.2	213.6 97.6 85.4 12.2 88.5 94.6 82.4 82.4 82.4 70.2
Small Cylinder (Innei	= mL*0.0610237	I		53.4	53.4 24.4	53.4 24.4 21.4	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 41.2	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 41.2 44.2	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 41.2 44.2 94.6	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 41.2 44.2 94.6 82.4	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 3.1 41.2 94.6 82.4 85.4	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 3.1 41.2 94.6 94.6 82.4 82.4 85.4	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 44.2 94.6 82.4 85.4 85.4	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 3.1 41.2 94.6 94.6 82.4 82.4 85.4 70.2	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 3.1 41.2 94.6 82.4 82.4 85.4 85.4 70.2	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 41.2 94.6 82.4 85.4 85.4 70.2	53.4 24.4 21.4 3.1 3.1 41.2 94.6 82.4 82.4 85.4 70.2
		ı		875	875 400	875 400 350	875 400 350 50	875 400 350 50 675	875 400 350 50 675 675	875 400 350 50 675 725 1550	875 400 350 50 675 725 1550 1350	875 400 350 50 675 675 725 1550 1350 1350	875 400 350 50 675 675 1250 1350 1350 1400 1150	875 400 350 50 675 675 725 1550 1550 1350 1400 1400	875 400 350 50 675 675 725 1550 1350 1350 1400 <b>1150</b>	875 400 350 50 675 675 1250 1350 1350 1400 1350	875 400 350 50 675 675 1350 1350 1400 1400	875 400 350 50 675 675 1550 1350 1400 1150
ights		6.25	C EU	00.0	6.50	6.50 6.50	6.50 6.50 6.50	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	0.20 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25
Heić		6.25	<i>б</i> 75	0.40	6.25	6.25 6.50	6.50 6.50	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50	6.25 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.25 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.25 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.50 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25	6.50 6.50 6.55 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25 6.25
<u>ש</u>		0:00 START	0.15	0110	0:30	0:30	0:30 0:45 1:00	0:30 0:45 1:00 1:30	0:30 0:45 1:00 1:30 2:00	0:30 0:30 1:30 3:00	0:30 0:30 1:30 2:00 3:00 4:00	0:30 0:45 1:00 1:00 2:00 3:00 3:00 5:00	0:30 0:30 1:00 1:30 2:00 3:00 4:00 5:00 6:00	0:30 0:45 0:45 1:00 1:30 2:00 3:00 3:00 5:00 6:00	0:30 0:45 1:00 1:00 2:00 3:00 3:00 5:00 6:00	0:30 0:30 1:30 3:00 5:00 6:00 6:00	0:30 0:45 0:45 1:00 1:30 3:00 5:00 6:00 6:00	0:30 0:45 1:00 1:00 2:00 3:00 5:00 6:00
Ē		1:05 PM	1:20 PM		1:35 PM	1:35 PM 1:50 PM	1:35 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM	1:35 PM 1:35 PM 2:05 PM 2:35 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 2:35 PM 3:05 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 2:35 PM 3:05 PM 4:05 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 2:35 PM 3:05 PM 4:05 PM 5:05 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 2:35 PM 3:05 PM 4:05 PM 5:05 PM 6:05 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 2:35 PM 3:05 PM 4:05 PM 5:05 PM 6:05 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 3:05 PM 4:05 PM 5:05 PM 6:05 PM 7:05 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 2:35 PM 3:05 PM 4:05 PM 6:05 PM 7:05 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 2:35 PM 4:05 PM 5:05 PM 6:05 PM 7:05 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 3:05 PM 4:05 PM 6:05 PM 7:05 PM 7:05 PM	1:50 PM 1:50 PM 2:05 PM 3:05 PM 4:05 PM 6:05 PM 7:05 PM

Infiltration Rate =  $(1150ml/(3600sec)(0.073m^2))x10^{-6} = 4.4x10^{-6} m/s$ 

# **APPENDIX K**



## Refraction Seismic Investigation at the Ascenté Development Site, Reno, Washoe County, Nevada

GGSI Project No. 2017-29.01

Prepared by:

Gasch Geophysical Services, Inc. Rancho Cordova, California 95742-6576

Submitted to:

Mr. Mitch Burns **Lumos & Associates, Inc.** 800 College Parkway Carson City, Nevada 89701

October, 2017



October 9, 2017

Mr. Mitch Burns Lumos & Associates, Inc. 800 College Parkway Carson City, Nevada 89701

#### Re: Refraction Seismic Investigation at the Ascenté Development Site in Reno, Washoe County, Nevada. GGSI Project No. 2017-29.01

Dear Mr. Burns,

At your request and authorization, Gasch Geophysical Services, Inc. (GGSI) has completed a refraction seismic investigation to evaluate the characteristics of the subsurface materials and locate suspected faults at the Ascenté Development Site in Reno, Washoe County, Nevada (Figure 1).

#### Purpose

The purpose of this investigation was to define the characteristics of the sub-surface and the depth to higher velocity material to aid in determining the excavatability of materials in areas of proposed roadway and housing pad cuts. Additionally, refraction seismic data were used to aid in determining the existence and/or extent of several fault systems thought to be present in the area.

#### Method, Instrumentation and Software

The refraction seismic (RS) method was used to evaluate the rock velocities on site, as seismic primary-wave travel times are used to quantify the rock velocities and, as a result, can determine the general competency/rippability in areas of various rock types. The RS method measures the velocity at which a seismic wave propagates through a soil or rock medium. In this case, the primary seismic wave (p-wave) was measured. Higher seismic p-wave velocities (measured in feet per second, ft/s) indicate material of higher density, thus quantifying the competency, or strength, of the soil or rock medium and providing an estimation of the rippability and/or excavatability of the sub-surface materials.

GGSI's seismic data acquisition system was a Seistronix EX-6 Explorer which is a distributed, 24-bit digital instrument with data output to electronic media for subsequent processing. Geophones were single, 28-Hz, digital grade units manufactured by OYO Geospace Corporation. Spread cables were manufactured by Pro-Seismic Services. The energy source for this project was a sixteen pound sledge hammer with a hardwired link for system triggering. All data were processed in house, on our data reduction and plotting workstation.

Refraction Seismic Investigation at the Ascenté Development Site Reno, Washoe County, Nevada Attn: Mr. Mitch Burns Lumos & Associates, Inc. Page 2 of 8

Refraction seismic data processing was carried out using Rayfract® version 3.34. This refraction seismic processing software utilizes Wavepath Eikonal Traveltime (WET) tomography, which models multiple signal propagation paths contributing to one first break (the Fresnel volume approach). Conventional ray tracing tomography is limited to the modeling of just one ray path per first break. The WET inversion method is founded upon a back-projection formula for inverting velocities from travel times computed by a finite-difference solution to the Eikonal equation (Qin, et al. 1992). An Eikonal solver is used for traveltime field computation which models diffraction in addition to refraction and transmission of acoustic waves. As a result, the velocity anomaly imaging capability is enhanced with the WET tomographic inversion method compared to conventional ray tomography. This software is developed by Intelligent Resources, Inc. of Vancouver, British Colombia, Canada.

A color-coded seismic velocity cross-section of the subsurface has been generated for each RS line, where cool colors (blues) indicate lower seismic velocities and warm colors (reds, purple) indicate higher velocities. Color scaling of these seismic velocity sections is based on the range of seismic velocity values calculated. Velocity scaling has been normalized on all RS velocity sections.

#### **Data Acquisition Parameters**

A total of 7 RS lines were acquired during this investigation. RS Line locations were suggested by Lumos personnel and slightly adjusted in the field to allow for efficient and safe data acquisition. The end points of each line were marked in the field using orange stakes with appropriate labels and pink flagging material. All seven lines were acquired with geophone stations spaced at 20-foot intervals. RS Lines 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7 were acquired with 24 geophone stations for total line lengths of 500 feet each. RS Line 4 was acquired with 39 geophone stations for a total line length of 800 feet, and RS Line 6 was acquired with 12 geophone stations for a total line length of 260 feet. Energy source points were located every other geophone station, as well as off the ends of each line. A total of 3,560 lineal feet of data were collected for all 7 lines. Collection of the field data were carried out on October 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2017. The field crew consisted of Professional Geophysicist Kent Gasch and Geophysicist Tim Brandt. The locations of the RS lines are presented on Figure 2.

#### Rippability

Rippability is dependent on the physical condition of the rock masses to be excavated. In addition to rock type and degree of weathering, structural features in the rock such as bedding planes, cleavage planes, joints, fractures, consolidation and shear zones also influence rippability. Rock masses tend to be more easily ripped if they have well defined, closely spaced fractures, joints, or other planes of weakness. Massive rock bodies which lack discontinuities may allow for slow and difficult ripping or refusal, even



Refraction Seismic Investigation at the Ascenté Development Site Reno, Washoe County, Nevada Attn: Mr. Mitch Burns Lumos & Associates, Inc. Page 3 of 8

where partially weathered, and may require blasting to break the rock for efficient removal.

The association between the seismic velocity of any given earth material and its rippability varies greatly from one type of earth-moving equipment to another. For example, although a large track laying dozer with a single ripper tooth can sometimes rip material with seismic velocities in excess of 10,000 ft/s, GGSI has experienced a limiting (refusal) velocity for large excavators to range from 3,500 ft/s to 4,500 ft/s, and a standard backhoe may meet refusal at seismic velocities as low as 2,000 ft/s. Although low seismic velocities in any rock type indicate probable rippability, if the fractures, bedding and/or joints do not allow tooth penetration, the material still may not be ripped efficiently. In some cases, drilling and blasting may be required to induce sufficient fracturing to allow for excavation. Ultimately, the relationship between seismic velocity and rippability is dependent on a combination of site conditions, equipment and/or operator ability.

Seismic p-wave velocities are related to both rock hardness and fracture density. Rippability has been empirically correlated to refraction seismic velocities by Caterpillar Inc., as displayed on Figure 10 for a CAT D10R (Caterpillar Performance Handbook, Edition 45, January, 2015). According to this chart, igneous rock, in this case rhyolite becomes marginally rippable near 7,200 ft/s and non-rippable at around 8,500 ft/s for a D10R dozer with a single shank ripper tooth. These estimations are based on the published values for metamorphic rocks on the CAT chart; however, site geology and topography may cause some variations of these values.

The Caterpillar Chart of Ripper Performance should be considered as being only one indicator of rippability. Ripper tooth penetration is the key to successful ripping, regardless of seismic velocity. This criterion is particularly true in finer-grained, homogeneous materials and in tightly cemented formations. Ripping success may ultimately be determined by the operator finding the proper combination of factors, such as: number of shanks used, length and depth of shank, tooth angle, direction of travel, and use of throttle. Although low seismic velocities in any rock type indicate probable rippability, it is possible that, if the fractures, bedding and/or joints do not allow tooth penetration, the material still may not be ripped efficiently. In some cases, drilling and blasting may be required to induce sufficient fracturing to allow for excavation.

#### **Seismic Velocities**

Generally, seismic p-wave velocities less than 3,000 ft/s indicate native soil, fill material or highly weathered and/or decomposed rock, while velocities in excess of 10,000 ft/s indicate fresh (essentially non-weathered) rock. Seismic velocities between these two values typically indicate rock with varying degrees of weathering and/or fracturing. Consolidation and cementation, as well as, fracture spacing and density also affect the measured seismic velocities. Moderate velocities may indicate compacted soil, moderately weathered rock or loosely consolidated sediment such as gravel, sand and



Refraction Seismic Investigation at the Ascenté Development Site Reno, Washoe County, Nevada Attn: Mr. Mitch Burns Lumos & Associates, Inc. Page 4 of 8

silt. Saturated sediment below the water table characteristically displays seismic velocities near or slightly above 5,000 ft/s.

Extremes in seismic velocities may range from below 1,000 ft/s to over 20,000 ft/s. Very low seismic velocities usually indicate highly weathered or poorly compacted material, either natural or man-made. Extremely high velocities are rare in the near-surface, and only possible in certain types of rock. Rock velocities are dependent on the physical condition of the rock masses evaluated, as a result, seismic p-wave velocities are related to rock hardness, fracture density and sediment consolidation, saturation and cementation.

#### Findings

The results of this refraction seismic investigation are summarized by Figures 3 through 9. These seismic velocity sections, which were created through the inversion process, have very low error and provide a high degree of lateral definition of the seismic velocity horizons found beneath each line. The seismic velocity sections have been scaled from 1,500 ft/s to 20,000 ft/s for the velocity window. Horizontal and vertical axes have both been scaled to 40 feet per inch on all RS lines except for RS Line 4, which was scaled to 60 feet per inch in both the horizontal and vertical axes. In addition, the approximate coordinates of each endpoint, as acquired in the field using a handheld Garmin GPSmap 76CSx, have been labeled on each figure.

#### RS Line 1 (Figure 3)

RS Line 1 is located in the central-western portion of the project area. This Line is oriented approximately southwest to northeast (see Figure 2) and is positioned approximately 4 feet west of Lumos Stake No. 1017 at geophone station 112+00 feet.

Measured seismic velocities at this location generally stay below 7,000 ft/s across the length of the line down to the maximum depth of exploration at approximately 80 feet below ground surface (bgs). Measured seismic velocities also stay below 5,000 ft/s down to apparent depths of 30-40 feet bgs on the entire line. Based on information provided by Lumos personnel, the maximum planned cut depth in this area is approximately 20-25 feet bgs. Measured velocities in this depth range are 5,000 ft/s or less across all of RS Line 1, suggesting that materials in this area should be rippable with a large dozer down to the proposed maximum cut depth.

#### RS Line 2 (Figure 4)

RS Line 2 is located in the central portion of the project area. This Line is oriented approximately west to east (see Figure 2) and is positioned approximately 15 feet north of Lumos Stake No. 1019 at geophone station 215+00 feet.



Refraction Seismic Investigation at the Ascenté Development Site Reno, Washoe County, Nevada Attn: Mr. Mitch Burns Lumos & Associates, Inc. Page 5 of 8

Measured seismic velocities at this location also generally stay below 7,000 ft/s across the length of the line down to the maximum depth of exploration at approximately 105 bgs. Measured seismic velocities also stay below 5,000 ft/s down to apparent depths of 25-95 feet bgs on the entire line. Based on information provided by Lumos personnel, the maximum proposed cut depth in this area is approximately 15-20 feet bgs. Since measured velocities in this depth range are 5,000 ft/s or less across the line, it suggests that materials in this area should be rippable with a large dozer down to the proposed maximum cut depth.

#### RS Line 3 (Figure 5)

RS Line 3 is located in the central-eastern portion of the project area. This Line is oriented approximately southeast to northwest (see Figure 2) and is positioned approximately 5 feet west of Lumos Stake No. 1021 at geophone station 302+3 feet.

Measured seismic velocities at this location grade at a rapid rate on the southeast end of the line and very gradually on the northwest end. The southeastern end of the line shows a rapid gradation from the from distance station -20 feet to 100 feet where velocities range from less than 5,000 ft/s at the surface to over 11,000 ft/s at the maximum depth of exploration at the extreme southeast end of the line. On the northwestern end of the line, low to moderate velocities were measured from distance station 100 feet to the end of the line. Velocities grade most rapidly from the southeast end of the line to distance station 100 feet, at which point there is an abrupt shift to lower velocity material down to the maximum depth of exploration (~80 feet bgs) for the remainder of the line. Based on information provided by Lumos personnel, the maximum proposed cut depth in this area is approximately 20-25 feet bgs. Measured velocities at this depth are near 9,000 ft/s from distance station -20 feet to 60 feet indicating non-rippable material in this area. From distance station 60 feet to approximately 75 feet, velocities suggest marginally rippable material and rippable material from distance stations 75 feet to the northwest end of the line. Between distance stations -20 feet to 60 feet, where velocities are greater than 7,200 ft/s, excavation progress is likely to slow and drilling and blasting may be the most efficient method to fracture the rock for further excavation.

#### RS Line 4 (Figure 6)

RS Line 4 is located in the northwest corner of the project area. This Line is oriented approximately northwest to southeast (see Figure 2). Based on information provided by Lumos personnel, RS Line 4 is located in an area where minimal cutting is to occur. The main purpose of this line was to evaluate the existence of a fault trace previously mapped in this area.

The seismic data show a distinct set of abrupt shifts at depth, indicative of faulted and/or displaced strata, ranging from distance station 580 feet to distance station 750 feet at the surface. The data show this possible fault zone dipping to the northwest at



Refraction Seismic Investigation at the Ascenté Development Site Reno, Washoe County, Nevada Attn: Mr. Mitch Burns Lumos & Associates, Inc. Page 6 of 8

approximately a 45° angle to the maximum depth of exploration of approximately 285 feet bgs. The location of this possible fault zone, which has been labeled on the figure for ease of viewing, corresponds well to the location of the fault trace previously mapped to the area.

#### RS Line 5 (Figure 7)

RS Line 5 is located in the southwest corner of the project area. This Line is oriented approximately east to west (see Figure 2) and is positioned approximately 30 feet south of Lumos Stake No. 1045 at geophone station 516+00 feet. The purpose of this line was to provide excavatability characteristics and to evaluate the previously located fault trace found during trenching work.

Measured seismic velocities at this location grade slowly, generally less than 7,000 ft/s across the length of the line down to apparent depths ranging from 40 feet to 100 feet bgs. Based on information provided by Lumos personnel, the maximum proposed cut depths in this area range from approximately 5-15 feet bgs. Measured seismic velocities are less than 5,000 ft/s down to apparent depths of 24-50 feet bgs across the entire length of the line. Since measured velocities in this depth range are 5,000 ft/s or less across all of RS Line 5, it suggests that materials in this area should be rippable with a large dozer down to the proposed maximum cut depth.

In addition, this line sought to evaluate the existence of a fault trace previously located in this area via trenching. The seismic data show a distinct shift at depth, indicative of faulted and/or displaced strata, ranging from distance station 190 feet to distance station 270 feet at the surface. The data show this possible fault zone dipping to the east at approximately a 70-75° angle down to the maximum depth of exploration at approximately 160 feet bgs. The location of this possible fault zone, which has been labeled on the figure for ease of viewing, corresponds well to the location of the fault trace previously found in the area during trenching work.

#### RS Line 6 (Figure 8)

RS Line 6 is located in the northwestern portion of the project area. This Line is oriented approximately northwest to southeast (see Figure 2) and is positioned approximately 6 feet north of Lumos Stake No. 1003 at geophone station 602+00 feet.

Measured seismic velocities at this location grade moderately with a dip of higher velocities to the northwest. Based on information provided by Lumos personnel, the maximum proposed cut depths in this area range from approximately 5-10 feet bgs. Measured seismic velocities are less than 5,000 ft/s to depths of approximately 24 feet across the entire line, which suggests that materials in this area should be rippable with a large dozer to the proposed maximum cut depth.



Refraction Seismic Investigation at the Ascenté Development Site Reno, Washoe County, Nevada Attn: Mr. Mitch Burns Lumos & Associates, Inc. Page 7 of 8

#### RS Line 7 (Figure 9)

RS Line 7 is located in the southwest corner of the project area. This Line is oriented approximately south to north (see Figure 2) and is positioned approximately 11 feet east of Lumos Stake No. 1040 at geophone station 713+07 feet and is in line with Lumos Stake No. 1039 at geophone station 719+14 feet.

Based on information provided by Lumos personnel, the maximum planned cut depths in this area are approximately 5-20 feet bgs. Measured seismic velocities at this location shows moderately undulating velocity contours with low velocities at the surface and grading to high velocities at the maximum depth of exploration. At 20 feet bgs, velocities are less than 4,000 ft/s which are well within the range of rippable material at the maximum depth of planned cuts. Material in this area should be rippable with a large dozer down to the proposed maximum cut depth.

#### Summary

This refraction seismic investigation revealed a moderate degree of variation in the calculated seismic velocities of the subsurface materials, with maximum seismic velocity values greater than 19,000 ft/s measured on Line 4. Lower velocity material (1,500 ft/s to 3,000 ft/s) was encountered in the near surface on all lines which is suggestive of native soil, fill material or highly weathered and/or decomposed rock. All RS Lines show a moderate to high velocity section of material, to some extent, from near-surface to varying depths. The moderate to high velocities ranging from 3,000 ft/s to the 7,000+ft/s, suggests highly compacted soil or fill and/or rock with varying degrees of fracturing, weathering which would expectedly decrease with depth and increase in velocity.

In general, rippability with a large track laying dozer should not be problematic, except where noted on RS Line 3, in the areas of this refraction seismic data based on the CAT D10R Rippability Performance Chart (Figure 10). Based on this information and cutand-fill information provided by Lumos personnel, it is likely that excavation crews will encounter rippable materials down to the proposed maximum cut depths on all seven RS Lines, except for a short section on RS Line 3 where blasting may be necessary. It should also be noted that observed rock outcrops at the site may could be associated with large rock masses (large boulders) which may be difficult to remove mechanically and may require blasting to move efficiently.

#### Warranty and Limitations

Gasch Geophysical Services, Inc. has performed these services in a manner which is consistent with standards of the profession. Site conditions can cause some variations of the calculated seismic velocities. Refraction seismic velocities assume that velocities increase with depth; therefore, a lower seismic velocity layer beneath a higher seismic velocity layer will not be resolved. No guarantee, with respect to the results and



Refraction Seismic Investigation at the Ascenté Development Site Reno, Washoe County, Nevada Attn: Mr. Mitch Burns Lumos & Associates, Inc. Page 8 of 8

performance of services or products delivered for this project, is implied or expressed by Gasch Geophysical Services, Inc.

We trust that this is the information you require; however, should you have comments or questions, please contact our Rancho Cordova office at your convenience. Thank you for this opportunity to again be of service.

Expires 12/31/2017

Sincerely,

GASCH GEOPHYSICAL SERVICES, INC.

onal Geop Prof Kent L. Gasch No. 1061 of Califo

Kent L. Gasch Professional Geophysicist #1061

Timothy W. Brandt Geophysicist





## **RS** Line Location Map



Base Map Courtesy of Google Earth Pro

## Figure 2







Elevation in Feet (above mean sea level)







Vertical: 1" = 40' Scale:





-2,000 -3,000 -5,000 -6,000 -6,000 -7,000 -7,000 -11,000 -11,000 -112,000 -114,000 -114,000 -115,000 -	<b>Figure 8</b> ction Seismic Investigation: scenté Development Site <i>I for: Lumos &amp; Associates, Inc.</i> <i>Mber:</i> 2017-29.01 <i>Date:</i> October, 2017
(level see nsem evods) tee T ni noitsvel E	Refra Consultants in Geophysics For the Ensineering, For the Ensineering, For the Ensineering, Refra Ref
605 605 606 606 606 606 606 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54	
600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	<ul> <li>Legend</li> <li>* * Geophone Static</li> <li>601 Energy</li> <li>Source Location</li> </ul>

# RS Line 6 Seismic Velocity Section •

Northwest

Southeast

Legend

Scale: Horizontal: 1" = 40' Vertical: 1" = 40'

(level sea neen evods) teet (above mean sea level)

5	V					F	0	
5490 5480	5470	5460	5450	5440	5430	5420	Ņ	





Scale: Horizontal: 1" = 40' Vertical: 1" = 40'

## Caterpillar D10R Ripper Performance Chart\*

### **D10R**

#### Multi or Single Shank No. 10 Ripper Estimated by Seismic Wave Velocities



## **APPENDIX L**





geosUAS, Inc. ~ Natural resource assessment and management...

PO Box 19805, Reno, Nevada, 89511 \* (775) 287-9038 \*

July 26, 2017

Mr. Michael Barnes NNV1 Partners, LLC. 6151 Lakeside Dr. Ste. 100 Reno, NV 89511

#### SUBJECT: PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF ASCENTE ON MT. ROSE PROJECT, RENO, NEVADA REGARDING THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES/WETLANDS (WOUS) SUBJECT TO POSSIBLE REGULATION BY THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Dear Mr. Barnes,

geosUAS, Inc., (Geos) conducted a preliminary evaluation regarding the presence or absence of waters of the United States (wetlands and other waters) subject to possible regulation by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers<sup>1</sup>. Geos also conducted a field reconnaissance of the Ascente property and have prepared this letter report documenting potential WOUS on the site. Geos also conducted a data base search for threatened and endangered (T&E) species designations for the Ascente site.

The Ascente site is composed of a approximately 640 acres, as Section 1, T. 17 N., R 19 E., M.D.B.&M., Reno Nevada (Attachment 1, Figure 1a). The site ranges in elevation between 5400 feet msl to 6700 feet msl (Attachment 1, Figure 1b). The site is within the Truckee River Watershed and within the Galena and Thomas Creek sub-watershed (Attachment 1, Figure 1c). Because drainages from the site would discharge to Galena Creek or Steamboat Creek which drains to the Truckee River, intermittent or ephemeral drainages with a defined bed and bank could potentially be subject to the USACE. Attachment 1, Figure 2 depicts land use which is reported as Forest Service.

Attachment 1, Figure 3 depicts the major geologic units according to the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology. There are 6 major geologic units in the Ascente site area, Tertiary andesite and related rocks of intermediate composition (Ta), Tertiary (Tkf), Quaternary (Qsg), Quaternary (Qsh), Quaternary (Qsu), Quaternary (Qfb).

Attachment 1, Figure 4, depicts the NRCS soils, which are listed in Attachment 2, Table 1. There are 20 soils units within the project boundary and none are classified as hydrophytic (or wetland) soils by the USACE (Attachment 2, Table 1). One of the soils, the Settlemeyer-Notus complex is classified as having a hydric inclusion of 4 percent. The Settlemeyer-Notus complex (513) makes up 31.2 acres of the Ascente site, which accounts for 3.2 percent of the total land area. The largest contributing soil map unit is the Old Camp-Rock outcrop complex, 15 to 50 percent slopes (931),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A site visit was conducted by Ms. Lori Carpenter, PWS, Hydrologist/Hydrogeologist on September 15, 2016 and June 24, 2017.

which accounts for 22.0 percent of the site.

Attachment 1, Figure 5 shows the USFWS NWI mapped wetlands for the Ascente site location. Three NWI wetlands are mapped within the project location boundary with additional wetlands nearby in the Galena Creek drainage. All three mapped wetlands within the project boundary are classified as Palustrine Emergent wetlands with a saturated water regime.

Attachment 1, Figure 7 contains the SWReGAP ground cover and vegetation map for the Ascente site. The site has seven distinct vegetation types. The site is primarily composed of Great Basin Pinyon-Juniper Woodland vegetation, which is classified by SWReGAP as an ecological system typically found in dry mountain ranges at elevations of 1600-2600 m, dominated by *Pinus monophylla*, *Juniperus oseteosperma*, and *Cercocarpus ledifolius*. None of the seven SWReGAP vegetation covers are dominated by wetland vegetation.

Attachment 1, Figure 8 depicts flowlines for potential drainages. Water flow from precipitation or springs will flow away from the Ascente site. Flow will move west towards Galena Creek in the southwestern quadrant of the Ascente project area, and eastward toward White's Creek and Thomas Creek in the northeastern quadrant of the Ascente project area.

Attachment 3 Contains representative project photos.

Attachment 4 contains the results from the USFWS Ecos report. The report lists proposed, threatened, endangered, and candidate species that could potentially occur in the site area or be affected by activities in the site area. These species include Steamboat Buckwheat, Webber Ivesia, North American Wolverine, Cui-ui, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout, Bald Eagle, Black Rosy-finch, Brewer's Sparrow, Burrowing Owl, Calliope Hummingbird, Eared Grebe, Flammulated Owl, Fox Sparrow, Greater Sage-grouse, Green-tailed Towhee, Loggerhead Shrike, Long-billed Curlew, Olive-sided Flycatcher, Peregrine Falcon, Pinyon Jay, Sage Thrasher, Short-eared Owl, Snowy Plover, Swainson's Hawk, Tricolored Blackbird, Virginia's Warbler, Western Grebe, White Headed Woodpecker, and Williamson's Sapsucker. There are currently no critical habitats identified in the Ascente site location

Additionally, the Ecos report identifies USFWS NWI mapped wetlands in the site location. There are eleven wetlands identified within or partially within the site location, these wetlands are classified as freshwater emergent wetlands, freshwater forested/shrub wetlands, freshwater ponds, and Riverine.

Also included in Attachment 4 is a letter from the Nevada Natural Heritage Program which documents the endangered, threatened, candidate, and at risk species known by the NNHP to be found at and around the Ascente site location. There are no species recorded in their database for the site area, but the site may provide habitat for the Great Grey Owl and Mono Checkerspot which are both listed as USFS sensitive species.

#### Preliminary Evaluation of Ascente on Mt. Rose Project, Reno, Nevada

**FINDINGS:** The USGS 7.5 inch topographic geospatial database has identified both intermittent and ephemeral drainages within the project boundary and this was also reflected within the National Hydrography Database (Attachment 1, Figures 1b and 8). While there are swale/drainage features within the project boundary, Geos not find physical channels with a defined bed and bank, that were continuous through the site and that then flowed connected off-site and terminated in either Galena Creek or Steamboat Creek. The features that are within the site would not be considered water of the United States. Galena Creek is down gradient of the southwest corner of the site and not within the project boundary. The NWI identified wetland areas were identified in the field. Holes were dug with a shovel to a depth of 12 inches and there were no hydric or redoximorphic soil indicators. Also there were no hydrologic indicators. Geos did not find palustrine emergent areas as identified in the NWI features are low lying areas within the "topographic saddle" or, hillside seep areas where soil texture allowed for more surface hydrology to collect. All these areas were composed of more upland vegetation as depicted within the photographs (Attachment 3). The NHD flowline that was identified towards the southwest area of the site is actually a dirt road (Attachment 1, Figure 8).

In summary, a field and database investigation was conducted for the presence or absence of WOUS/wetlands within the Ascente site. We did not find areas that would be considered subject to the US Army Corps of Engineers jurisdiction under section 404 of the Clean Water Act, nor waters of the State. Further, Geos did not find features that would indicate a formal wetland delineation is necessary.

Please call me with any other questions or concerns.

Best Regards, apenta

Lori Carpenter, PWS, CPESC Hydrologist/Hydrogeologist

Attachments



F:\Ascente\Ascente Rpt\ascente WOUS Final.wpd

Preliminary Evaluation of Ascente on Mt. Rose Project, Reno, Nevada

#### ATTACHMENT 1 FIGURES

Figure 1a - Site Location Figure 1b - USGS TOPO Map Figure 1c - Watershed Map Figure 2 - Land Use Map Figure 3 - Geology Map Figure 4 - NRCS Soils Map Figure 5 - NWI Map Figure 6 - Reserved Figure 7 - SWReGAP Vegetation Map Figure 8 - NHD Flowline Map




















Preliminary Evaluation of Ascente on Mt. Rose Project, Reno, Nevada

### ATTACHMENT 2 TABLES

Table 1NRCS Soils and Hydric Soils

Preliminary Evaluation of Ascente on Mt. Rose Project, Reno, Nevada

### ATTACHMENT 3 REPRESENTATIVE PROJECT PHOTOS

### Ascente Project NWI Features Photo Documentation

### June 2017

PEMB 02

pemb 01



# PEMB-01 – 39D22'12.74"N, 119D48'10.44W (0.06 ACRE)



# PEMB-01 – 39D22'12.74"N, 119D48'10.44W (0.06 ACRE)



# PEMB-01 – 39D22'12.74"N, 119D48'10.44W (0.06 ACRE)





### PEMB-02, 39D22'3.74"N., 119D48'4.47"W (0.36 ACRE)





### PEMB-02, 39D22'3.74"N., 119D48'4.47"W (0.36 ACRE)











### ATTACHMENT 4 ENDANGERED SPECIES AND HABITAT INQUIRIES

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Ascente Ecos Report IpaC Trust Resources Report

Correspondence from State of Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources Nevada Natural Heritage Program: Data request received 11 August 2016



STATE OF NEVADA

Brian Sandoval Governor

Leo Drozdoff Director

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Kristin Szabo

Nevada Natural Heritage Program

Kristin Szabo Administrator

16 August 2016

evada

Natural

Heritage

Program

Lori Carpenter geosUAS, Inc. P.O. Box 19805 Reno, NV 89511

RE: Data request received 11 August 2016

### Dear Ms. Carpenter:

We are pleased to provide the information you requested on endangered, threatened, candidate, and/or At Risk plant and animal taxa recorded within or near the Ascente Project area in Washoe County. We searched our database and maps for the following, a 2 kilometer radius around map provided including:

### Township 17N Range 19E Section 01

There are no at risk taxa recorded within the given area. However, habitat may be available for, the Great Grey Owl, *Strix nebulosa*, a U.S. Forest Service (Region 5) Sensitive Species and the Mono checkerspot, *Euphydryas editha monoensis*, a U.S. Forest Service (Region 5) Sensitive Species. The Nevada Department of Wildlife (NDOW) manages, protects, and restores Nevada's wildlife resources and associated habitat. Please contact Bonnie Weller, NDOW GIS biologist (775) 688-1439 to obtain further information regarding wildlife resources within and near your area of interest. Removal or destruction of state protected flora species requires a special permit from Nevada Division of Forestry (NRS 527.270).

Please note that our data are dependent on the research and observations of many individuals and organizations and in most cases are not the result of comprehensive or site-specific field surveys. Natural Heritage reports should never be regarded as final statements on the taxa or areas being considered, nor should they be substituted for on-site surveys required for environmental assessments.

Thank you for checking with our program. Please contact us for additional information or further assistance.

Sincerely,

Eric S. Miskow Biologist/Data Manager U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

### **Ascente Ecos Report**

### IPaC Trust Resources Report

Generated August 11, 2016 02:07 PM MDT, IPaC v3.0.8

This report is for informational purposes only and should not be used for planning or analyzing project level impacts. For project reviews that require U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service review or concurrence, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list from the Regulatory Documents page.



IPaC - Information for Planning and Conservation (<u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/</u>): A project planning tool to help streamline the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service environmental review process.

### Table of Contents

IPaC Trust Resources Report	 1
Project Description	 1
Endangered Species	 2
Migratory Birds	 4
Refuges & Hatcheries	 7
Wetlands	 8

### U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service IPaC Trust Resources Report



NAME

Ascente Ecos Report

Washoe County, Nevada

IPAC LINK https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/project/ 40DHZ-7IL2Z-HSVOC-2JTF5-ZWPW2A



### U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Contact Information

Trust resources in this location are managed by:

### **Reno Fish And Wildlife Office**

1340 Financial Boulevard, Suite 234 Reno, NV 89502-7147 (775) 861-6300

### **Endangered Species**

Proposed, candidate, threatened, and endangered species are managed by the Endangered Species Program of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.

This USFWS trust resource report is for informational purposes only and should not be used for planning or analyzing project level impacts.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list from the Regulatory Documents section.

<u>Section 7</u> of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency.

A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can only be obtained by requesting an official species list either from the Regulatory Documents section in IPaC or from the local field office directly.

The list of species below are those that may occur or could potentially be affected by activities in this location:

Fishes	S
--------	---

 Cui-ui Chasmistes cujus
 Endangered

 CRITICAL HABITAT
 No critical habitat has been designated for this species.

 http://ecos.fws.gov/tess\_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=E001
 Threatened

 Lahontan Cutthroat Trout
 Oncorhynchus clarkii henshawi
 Threatened

CRITICAL HABITAT No critical habitat has been designated for this species. http://ecos.fws.gov/tess\_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=E00Y

### **Flowering Plants**

Steamboat Buckwheat Eriogonum ovalifolium var. williamsiae

CRITICAL HABITAT No critical habitat has been designated for this species.

http://ecos.fws.gov/tess\_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q2OR

### Webber Ivesia Ivesia webberi

CRITICAL HABITAT There is final critical habitat designated for this species. http://ecos.fws.gov/tess\_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=Q34J

### Mammals

### North American Wolverine Gulo gulo luscus

CRITICAL HABITAT No critical habitat has been designated for this species. http://ecos.fws.gov/tess\_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=A0FA

### **Critical Habitats**

### There are no critical habitats in this location

Endangered

Threatened

**Proposed Threatened** 

IPaC Trust Resources Report Migratory Birds

### **Migratory Birds**

Birds are protected by the <u>Migratory Bird Treaty Act</u> and the <u>Bald and Golden Eagle</u> Protection Act.

Any activity that results in the take of migratory birds or eagles is prohibited unless authorized by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.<sup>[1]</sup> There are no provisions for allowing the take of migratory birds that are unintentionally killed or injured.

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in the take of migratory birds is responsible for complying with the appropriate regulations and implementing appropriate conservation measures.

1. 50 C.F.R. Sec. 10.12 and 16 U.S.C. Sec. 668(a)

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Birds of Conservation Concern <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/managed-species/</u> birds-of-conservation-concern.php
- Conservation measures for birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/project-assessment-tools-and-guidance/</u> <u>conservation-measures.php</u>
- Year-round bird occurrence data
   <u>http://www.birdscanada.org/birdmon/default/datasummaries.jsp</u>

The following species of migratory birds could potentially be affected by activities in this location:

Bald Eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus Season: Year-round http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B008	Bird of conservation concern
Black Rosy-finch Leucosticte atrata Season: Year-round http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0J4	Bird of conservation concern
Brewer's Sparrow Spizella breweri Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0HA	Bird of conservation concern
Burrowing Owl Athene cunicularia Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0NC	Bird of conservation concern

IPaC Trust Resources Report Migratory Birds

Calliope Hummingbird Stellula calliope Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0K3	Bird of conservation concern
Eared Grebe Podiceps nigricollis	Bird of conservation concern
Flammulated Owl Otus flammeolus Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0DK	Bird of conservation concern
Fox Sparrow Passerella iliaca	Bird of conservation concern
Greater Sage-grouse Centrocercus urophasianus Season: Year-round http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B06W	Bird of conservation concern
Green-tailed Towhee Pipilo chlorurus Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0IO	Bird of conservation concern
Loggerhead Shrike Lanius Iudovicianus Season: Year-round http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0FY	Bird of conservation concern
Long-billed Curlew Numenius americanus Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B06S	Bird of conservation concern
Olive-sided Flycatcher Contopus cooperi Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0AN	Bird of conservation concern
Peregrine Falcon Falco peregrinus Season: Year-round http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0FU	Bird of conservation concern
<b>Pinyon Jay</b> Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus Season: Year-round http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0I0	Bird of conservation concern
Sage Thrasher Oreoscoptes montanus Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0ID	Bird of conservation concern
Short-eared Owl Asio flammeus Season: Year-round http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0HD	Bird of conservation concern
Snowy Plover Charadrius alexandrinus Season: Breeding	Bird of conservation concern

Swainson's Hawk Buteo swainsoni Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B070	Bird of conservation concern
Tricolored Blackbird Agelaius tricolor Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B06P	Bird of conservation concern
Virginia's Warbler Vermivora virginiae Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0IL	Bird of conservation concern
Western Grebe aechmophorus occidentalis Season: Breeding http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0EA	Bird of conservation concern
White Headed Woodpecker Picoides albolarvatus Season: Year-round http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0HU	Bird of conservation concern
Williamson's Sapsucker Sphyrapicus thyroideus Season: Year-round http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile.action?spcode=B0FX	Bird of conservation concern

### Wildlife refuges and fish hatcheries

There are no refuges or fish hatcheries in this location

### Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory

Impacts to NWI wetlands and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District.

### DATA LIMITATIONS

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

### DATA EXCLUSIONS

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

### DATA PRECAUTIONS

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

This location overlaps all or part of the following wetlands:

### Freshwater Emergent Wetland PEM1B PEM1C PEM1Cx

### Freshwater Forested/shrub Wetland PSSCx

IPaC Trust Resources Report Wetlands

### Freshwater Pond PUBHh PUSAh

Riverine R3UBH R3USC R4SBC R4SBJ R5UBH

A full description for each wetland code can be found at the National Wetlands Inventory website: <u>http://107.20.228.18/decoders/wetlands.aspx</u>

### **APPENDIX M**





### **APPENDIX N**



